UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE Feedback to Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2003-2004 Annual Reports					
DEPARTMENTAnthropology		DATE	April 3, 2005		
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEWI	Paul E. Sum			
STUDENT LEARNING GOALS					
Were any goals referenced?If so, were goals well articulated?Do goals address student learning?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N _N QUALIFIED Y/N _N		
Comments: The report notes "synthesis and integration of conwithout development, the goal implies a content oriented Strategic Plan of the university, does not appear to be evan the preparation to transition into professional life, or thother goals noted) but these goals are ambiguous. Perhap department's assessment plan, which I have not reviewed.	objective. T luated based ne reflection os, a clearer s	he nature of some on the report on growth du	student learning, as defined in that. These elements might be incluring students' college careers (ty		
ASSESSMENT METHODS					
 Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual 	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
goals? • Were both direct and indirect assessment	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
Comments: Clearly, a process of assessment is taking place. The provides many different types of methods for evaluation, is surveys are usefully employed					
ASSESSMENT RESULTS					
Were any assessment results reported? • If so, were the results clear in terms of how	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
they specifically affirm achievement of goals? If so, were the results clear in terms of how	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NY_		
they indicate need for improvement? • Were the results tied to goals for student	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NN_		
learning?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NY_		

Comments:

Results of the student/alumni surveys are reported. However, there are no results reported from the capstone course. Since this is the more comprehensive component of assessment, it would be useful to summarize briefly the findings from review of the capstone products. The results from the surveys indicate high satisfaction with the program but do not specify a threshold for achievement, so the results do not reflect how the department is judging need for improvement. I assume the high percentages are considered satisfactory.

CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment					
results reported?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _N		
 If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? 	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _N		
Comments: The report indicates that the capstone continues conjunction with results. However, this is not specified.	s to be develop	ed. I assum	e the development is taking place in		
SUMMARY					
Strengths	Areas for Improvement				
A specific plan for assessment is in place. Student learning goals are well-articulated.		No specific plan for assessment is in place. X_ Student learning goals are not well-articulated. Assessment methods are not clearly described. Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. Assessment methods are not well-implemented. A single type of assessment methods predominates. No results are reported. X_ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. (decision-making is not directly tied to evidence)			

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The department appears to have a solid plan in place and useful methods for evaluation. In the annual report, it would be helpful to specify student learning goals more and explain how (or if) any measures are being taken based on the assessment results. This most likely would occur in reporting on the development of the capstone as well as any other curricular developments that might take place as a result of the assessment in the capstone.