UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE Feedback to Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2003-2004 Annual Reports DEPARTMENT AVIATION DATE 4-18-2005 COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW _____Renee Mabey____ STUDENT LEARNING GOALS YES ___ Were any goals referenced? NO G QUALIFIED Y/N _UG__ YES____ If so, were goals well articulated? NO_G_{-} QUALIFIED Y/N _UG__ Do goals address student learning? QUALIFIED Y/N _UG__ YES NO GComments: **Undergraduate:** No goals articulated. The 'Assessment Plan is designed to help improve the overall learning experience of our graduates and of our department.' **Graduate:** No goals articulated. ASSESSMENT METHODS Were any specific assessment methods referenced? YES UG NO G QUALIFIED Y/N If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual YES _ NO_G_ QUALIFIED Y/N UG goals? Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple YES_UG__ NO__G_ QUALIFIED Y/N measures" approach? Comments: **Undergraduate:** Current Students: Graduation Interviews. Random Focus Groups, each grade level. Stage Check Completion Rates. ATC and CM Testing Results. Aviation Alumni: Discussions on Departmental Programming, Surveys, Web-based Questionnaire. Aviation Industry: Meetings on departmental goals. Surveys of employers and intern supervisors. Web-based Questionnaire. Graduate: No methods addressed. ASSESSMENT RESULTS YES____ NO_G_ QUALIFIED Y _UG_ Were any assessment results reported? If so, were the results clear in terms of how YES they specifically affirm achievement of goals? NO G QUALIFIED Y UG If so, were the results clear in terms of how YES ____ they indicate need for improvement? NO_G_ QUALIFIED Y _UG_ Were the results tied to goals for student YES____ NO_G_ QUALIFIED Y _UG_ learning?

Comments:

YESUG NO QUALIFIED Y/N YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N
TES NO QUALITED I/N
es. Publication of Assessment Chronicle. Note: first year of
Areas for Improvement
No specific plan for assessment is in place. UG_ Student learning goals are not well-articulated. Assessment methods are not clearly described. Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. Assessment methods are not well-implemented. A single type of assessment method predominates. UG_ No results are reported. Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. (decision-making is not directly tied to evidence)

Undergraduate: Information gathered . . . then passed on to the appropriate party to make necessary changed.

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Undergraduate Program: An Assessment Plan for the undergraduate program seems to be in place. Goals were not articulated, probably due to unclear instructions for the report. Several assessment methods were described. Results, though not defined, were used to close the loop; changes were made. This is the first year of the Assessment Plan; it seems well organized and productive.

Graduate Program: No report was given for the Assessment Plan for the graduate program.