		ESSMENT COMMITTEE ments on Assessment Activities I	Reported i	n 2003-2004 A	annual Reports
DEPARTMENT		CHEMICAL ENGINEERING		DATE	4-11-2005
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV			IEWRenee Mabey		
STUDENT L	EARNIN	G GOALS			
•	If so, were	goals referenced? goals well articulated? address student learning?	YES	NO_ <b>X</b>	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:					
ASSESSME	NT METH	HODS			
Were any specific assessment methods referenced?  • If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual		YES_Y	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
:	goals?		YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _X
<ul> <li>Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?</li> </ul>		YES_UG_	NO	_QUALIFIED Y/N _G	
Comments					
No goals state	ed in this i	report			
P F A E P E C		Senior Design Projects: peer and Portfolio Review: FE Exam Results Alumni Surveys Employer/Recruiter Surveys Placement Data Exit Interviews Course Evaluations Co-op Supervisor Surveys Co-op Student Assessments	faculty asse	essment	
Graduates: Student evaluations of courses ("Program Assessment occurs		every three	e years.")		
ASSESSME	NT RESU	LTS			
Were any assessment results reported?			YES_UG	NO_G_	QUALIFIED Y/N
<ul> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?</li> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement?</li> </ul>			YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _X
			YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _X
•	were the i learning?	results tied to goals for student	YES	NO_ <b>X</b>	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

## **Undergraduate:** No goals stated. Results reported: "Good Marks." Items reported: content issues in two areas – global context, societal impacts credit hours in ChE412 - need for an increase emphasis areas for curriculum Graduate: No results reported. Courses 'fine tuned.' CLOSING THE LOOP Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment YES\_UG, G\_ NO\_\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_\_\_\_ results reported? If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results YES\_UG\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_G\_\_ directly address goals for student learning? Comments: No goals stated in the report. **Undergraduate:** Specific actions were articulated. A course will be added; credit hours were adjusted for a specific course; required credits for a degree were changed from 134 to 133; and elective courses will be added. It would appear the department is responsive to findings of assessment. **Graduate:** Courses were fine tuned by faculty teaching the courses. Program assessment performed every three years. **SUMMARY** Strengths Areas for Improvement

## **\_X**\_\_ A specific plan for assessment is in place. No specific plan for assessment is in place. \_\_\_Student learning goals are well-articulated. **X** Student learning goals are not well-articulated. **UG** Assessment methods are clearly described. **\_G**\_\_ Assessment methods are not clearly described. \_\_\_\_ Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. **UG** Assessment methods are appropriately selected. \_\_\_\_ Assessment methods are not well-implemented. **UG** Assessment methods are well-implemented. \_\_\_\_ A single type of assessment methods predominates. **UG** Direct and indirect methods are implemented. \_\_\_\_ No results are reported. **UG** Results are reported. \_\_\_\_\_ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. \_UG\_Results are tied to closing the loop. (decision-making is tied to evidence) (decision-making is not directly tied to evidence)

## **OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The Chemical Engineering Department seems to have an understanding of assessment as related to student learning, and as related to program assessment. No goals for student learning are stated in the report, most likely due to unclear instructions for completing the annual report form.

Assessment activities are well reported for the undergraduate program.

Graduate Program Assessment is completed every 3 years. Assessment of Student Learning at the Graduate level is not reported; it is assumed that 2004-2005 is not an assessment period. The Department states it does have an assessment plan. The Department is aware that assessment is ongoing and an agent for informed decision-making.