Feedback to Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2003-2004 Annual Reports DEPARTMENT _____PSYCHOLOGY_____ DATE ____4-11-2005_____ COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW _____Renee Mabey_____ STUDENT LEARNING GOALS NO____ YES ___ Were any goals referenced? QUALIFIED Y/N _Y__ YES____ NO____ If so, were goals well articulated? QUALIFIED Y/N _Y__ Do goals address student learning? QUALIFIED Y/N Y YES NO Comments: **Undergraduates:** No goals stated. **Graduates:** Six goals stated. Well articulated goals. Two program goals, 4 student learning goals. ASSESSMENT METHODS Were any specific assessment methods referenced? YES Y NO QUALIFIED Y/N If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? YES_Y___ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple YES Y NO QUALIFIED Y/N measures" approach? Comments: **Undergraduates:** Area Concentration Achievement Tests (ACAT): knowledge of 12 topics, for majors Small Focus Groups: qualitative feedback from students re courses, instructors, program Psychology and Overall GPA collected: used for correlations with ACAT Writing Ability: methods are in development Additional methods: also in development **Graduates: Number of applicants:** Goal 1, a program goal **EPPP Examination Scores:** (licensure examination? If so, student learning goals) **INPSYDE Program:** Goal 6, a program goal Presentation and Publication Rates: Student learning goals **Additional methods:** as required by re-accreditation report for 2005 ASSESSMENT RESULTS YES Y___ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ Were any assessment results reported? If so, were the results clear in terms of how YES NO they specifically affirm achievement of goals? QUALIFIED Y/N Y If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES____ NO____ QUALIFIED Y/N _Y__ Were the results tied to goals for student

NO____

QUALIFIED Y/N _Y___

YES____

UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

learning?

Undergraduate:	
ACAT Test results summarized and correlated with GPAs. Further interpretation of findings will be necessary prior to drawing conclusions re UND student performances. For example, "were students in national pool 'prepared' for this specifiest?"	
Graduate:	
Number of Applicants (50 for 6-8 openings) EPPP Scores (program is at 67 th percentile) Presentation & Publication Rates (equal to or above national averages)	
CLOSING THE LOOP	
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? • If so, do curricular or other improvements/	YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N _X
changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:	
Specific actions were not articulated. However, from the responsive to findings of assessment.	nature of the report, it would appear the department is
responsive to initialist of assessment.	
SUMMARY	
•	Areas for Improvement

The Psychology Department seems to have a clear understanding of Assessment as related to student learning, and as related to program assessment. They indicate procedural documents and reports are readily available within the department. The Department seems aware that assessment is ongoing, tied to goals, and an agent for informed decision-making.