Feedback to Departme	nts on Assessment Activiti	ies Reported in 2	2005-2006	6 Annual Reports
DEPARTMENT	Law School		DATE	Feb. 16, 2007
COMMITTEE MEMB	BER(S) CONDUCTING R	EVIEWLaı	na Rakow	and Ginny Guido
1. STUDENT LEARNIN	G GOALS			
	als referenced? pals well articulated? ress student learning?			QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N eneral Education goals for student
X1 Communicat X2 Critical/creat X3 Informed cho4 Understanding sciences, and the arts and s5 Lifelong learni6 Cross-cultural7 Service/citizen communities and for the w	pices ("make informed choices across disciplines ("understan sciences" and "acquire knowled ing ("commit themselves to life appreciation ("develop some f ship ("commit themselves to orld")	y, both orally and in and creatively" and s") ad how conclusions dge over a broad sp elong learning") amiliarity with cult the service of other	"be intelled are reached pectrum of stures other ers," and "s	than their own") share responsibility both for their
Comments regarding Departments:	artmental goals and alignmen	ıt of Departmental	Goals with	h Institutional and General Educat
Undergraduate:				
and General Education g	oals and perhaps to others a	s well but with a s	pecific pro	ate connection to three institution ofessional application (e.g. "[being and, ethical professional judgment
2. ASSESSMENT METI	HODS			
 Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? Were both direct and indirect assessment 			NO	_ QUALIFIED Y/N
		YES_X	NO	_ QUALIFIED Y/N
	l as components of a "multiple	e YESX_	NO	_ QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:				

Undergraduate:

Graduate (<u>Professional</u>): Faculty, externship supervisors, and outside lawyers did assessments, most of it indirect. The single direct assessment tool used was the passage rate on the State Bar and Professional Ethics examinations. However no mention was made of student perception of learning, which could be a helpful addition to their assessment methods.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS Were any assessment results reported? YES_X__ NO____ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N Y If so, were the results clear in terms of how YES____ they indicate need for improvement? NO____ QUALIFIED Y/N _ Y__ Were the results tied to goals for student learning? YES____ NO_X_ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to Institutional and General Education goals. A list of the latter goals is included below. Please indicate with a Y, N, or ? whether results reported are applicable to Institutional or General Education goal achievement. For items with a Y or a?, please describe findings in the appropriate section below. X 1 Communication ("communicate effectively, both orally and in writing") 2 Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and creatively" and "be intellectually curious and creative") _____3 Informed choices ("make informed choices") __4 Understanding across disciplines ("understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences" and "acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas") _____5 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning") 6 Cross-cultural appreciation ("develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own") 7 Service/citizenship ("commit themselves to...the service of others," and "share responsibility both for their communities and for the world") Comments regarding results and the application of results to Departmental, Institutional and General Education Goals: **Undergraduate:** Graduate (Professional): The report indicates that data was recorded on a matrix but no results were shared in the report. The report indicates that the need for more refinement in collection of data and the standards being assessed. 4. CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? YES_X___ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES_X___ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ____

Comments:

Undergraduate:

Graduate (Professional): Four courses were changed substantively. An evaluation of writing requirements for graduation is underway. An assessment tool and protocol for assessing oral performance in moot court rounds has been revised. Standards of a competent legal practitioner and their applications are being reviewed.

SUMMARY

Strengths

Areas for Improvement

_x A specific plan for assessment is in place.	No specific plan for assessment is in place.
_xStudent learning goals are well-articulated.	Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
_xAssessment methods are clearly described.	Assessment methods are not clearly described.
_xAssessment methods are appropriately selected.	Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
_xAssessment methods are well-implemented.	Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
Direct and indirect methods are implemented.	X A single type of assessment methods predominates.
Results are reported.	_x_ No results are reported.
Results are tied to closing the loop.	_x_ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)	(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)
OVERALL CUMBALARY AND DECOMMENDATI	TONG

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Law School has a plan, competencies, and methods of assessment. This year some assessment data was collected but apparently it was not sufficient to lead to firm conclusions. Some students apparently were considered to have achieved some competencies and others had not. Refinement of competencies and methods of assessment is underway. While progress is being made on implementing the assessment plan, it is clear that the Law School recognizes there is work to be done in order to collect appropriate and sufficient information for drawing conclusions.

Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Lana Rakow Comm 7-2287 <u>lanarakow@mail.ur</u>	Nursing 7-4543 ad.edu ginnyguido@mail.und.edu				
 Section 1: _Y_	Section 2:Y	Section 3:? S	ection 4:?				
Coding Key:	- vos this is done some	oppiotoly and wall					
	= yes, this is done appr	• •					
N :	= no, this is not done a	s not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning					
NA :	 no information avails 	able					

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done