	SMENT COMMITTEE nts on Assessment Activities I	Reported in	2005-200	6 Annual Reports
DEPARTMENT	Teaching and Learning	D	ATE	Feb. 21, 2007
COMMITTEE MEMB	ER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEWGi	nny Guid	lo and Sharlette Seelan
1. STUDENT LEARNIN	G GOALS			
	uls referenced? als well articulated? ress student learning?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
indicate whether this depar X1 CommunicatiX2 Critical/creatiX3 Informed cho4 Understanding sciences, and the arts and soX5 Lifelong learnX6 Cross-cultura7 Service/citizens communities and for the wo	tment has a similar or related goal on ("communicate effectively, both ive thinking ("think critically and dices ("make informed choices") across disciplines ("understand hociences" and "acquire knowledge ining ("commit themselves to lifeld I appreciation ("develop some famiship ("commit themselves tothe orld")	th orally and increatively" and over a broad some learning") niliarity with conservice of oth	n writing", d "be intel s are reach pectrum of ultures off ers," and "	dectually curious and creative") ed in the natural sciences, the social f subject areas") ter than their own")
	There is one overall program goal ducation goals for student learning		ram standa	ards that speak to the majority of the
Graduate: Seven	n learning goals are presented for n	naster's stude		er leaning goals for doctoral student eral Education goals for student lear
2. ASSESSMENT METH	IODS			
 Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual 		YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
goals?	rect and indirect assessment	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
	as components of a "multiple	YES	NO_X_	_ QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

Undergraduate: Program experiences that are evaluated include course work, lesson planning, portfolio development and review, student teaching experiences, case and child studies, statements of personal histories, and teaching-related statements of "beliefs" and practices. Primarily, the lesson planning standards (1,2,3,7, and 8) were evaluated in this past academic year.

Graduate: Goals 2 and 6 of the master's curriculum were evaluated. These included: Candidates will demonstrate an ability to be effective communicators both orally and in writing and candidates research and reflect systematically about

their practice and so deepen their knowledge and adapt and strengthen their practice. T&L 997: Independent Study Project was the course used to evaluate these two goals. A tool was developed which will be implemented in spring term 2007.

At the doctoral level, two goals were addressed: the student will demonstrate knowledge of how personal educational practice guides and supports the learning of others and the student will demonstrate the ability to apply research and research methods relevant to the field of study. Evaluation activities for these two goals are ongoing and concern the capstone dissertation project.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

 Were any assessment results reported? If so, were the results clear in terms of how 	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NY
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? Were the results tied to goals for student learning? 	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _ Y QUALIFIED Y/N _ Y
icaning:	1 Lb	110	QUALITED I/N _ I _
In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results malist of the latter goals is included below. Please indicate with Institutional or General Education goal achievement. For itemsection below. Y1 Communication ("communicate effectively, bot2 Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and cre3 Informed choices ("make informed choices") 4 Understanding across disciplines ("understand hosciences, and the arts and sciences" and "acquire knowledge of the community of the community of the community of the world")	a Y, N, or? ns with a Y of th orally and eatively" and w conclusion over a broad g learning") iliarity with	whether result or a ?, please d in writing") "be intellectual as are reached spectrum of su cultures other	s reported are applicable to escribe findings in the appropriate ally curious and creative") in the natural sciences, the social abject areas") than their own")
Comments regarding results and the application of results to) Departmen	tal, Institution	nal and General Education Goals:
Undergraduate: The Critical Task Rubric for Lesso for successfully planning instruction. Beginning trends indica instruction.			
Graduate: As stated earlier, this assessment is in the master's level and during the entire 2006-2007 academic term			
4. CLOSING THE LOOP			
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? • If so, do curricular or other improvements/	YES	_ NO_X	QUALIFIED Y/N
changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES_X	_ NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

Undergraduate and Graduate: Assessment of the outcomes for both programs are continuing during the 2006-2007 academic year and thus the department has not changed/revised curricula at this time.

SUMMARY

Strengths

Areas for Improvement

x Student l x Assessme Assessme X Assessme Direct and Results are Results are	ic plan for assessment is earning goals are well-a ent methods are clearly int methods are appropri- ient methods are well-in I indirect methods are in e reported. e tied to closing the loop i-making is tied to evide	rticulatedStuder describedAssess ately selectedAssess applementedXA sinxNo re xResu	ecific plan for assessment is in place. It learning goals are not well-articulated. It learning goals are not clearly described. It is ment methods are not appropriately selected. It is ment methods are not well-implemented. It is are not clearly tied to closing the loop. It ion-making is not directly tied to evidence.)
OVERALL S	SUMMARY AND RI	ECOMMENDATIONS:	
progress is bein	ng made on implementir		ng to implement their assessment plan. While t the department recognizes there is work to be done ations.
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Sharlette Seelan _Housing Office 7-4251 sharletteseelan@mail.und.edu	Ginny Guido Nursing 7-4543 ginnyguido@mail.und.edu
Coding Key: Y N NA	yes, this is done apprno, this is not done ano information availa	t all, or it is not done in relationship	