

**UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE**  
**Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2007-08 Annual Reports**

**DEPARTMENT** \_\_\_\_\_ **Finance** \_\_\_\_\_ **DATE** 4/3/09 \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW** Naima Kaabouch \_\_\_\_\_

**1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS**

- |                                       |           |                   |                              |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| • Were any goals referenced?          | YES _____ | NO _____          | QUALIFIED Y/N <u>x</u> _____ |
| • If so, were goals well articulated? | YES _____ | NO <u>x</u> _____ | QUALIFIED Y/N _____          |
| • Do goals address student learning?  | YES _____ | NO <u>x</u> _____ | QUALIFIED Y/N _____          |

**Comments:**

**Undergraduate:** Department needs to work on clear articulation of student goals.

**Graduate:**

In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UND's Institutional and Essential Studies goals for student learning (shown in alignment within parentheses). Use 'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify UND/Essential Studies goals which are similar to the referenced departmental goals.

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1 Communication – written or oral (“able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience”)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or “be intellectually curious”; analyze, synthesize, evaluate)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or “be intellectually creative”; explore, discover, engage)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (“apply empirical data...analyze graphical information”)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5 Information literacy (“be able to access and evaluate...for effective, efficient, and ethical use”)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 6 Diversity (“demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding...”)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 7 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8 Service/citizenship (“share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

**Comments regarding departmental goals and alignment of departmental goals with institutional and Essential Studies goals:**

**Undergraduate:**

**Graduate:** No specific reference to university goals.

**2. ASSESSMENT METHODS**

- |  |           |                   |                     |
|--|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Were any specific assessment methods referenced?   | YES _____ | NO <u>x</u> _____ | QUALIFIED Y/N _____ |
| • If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals?        | YES _____ | NO <u>x</u> _____ | QUALIFIED Y/N _____ |
| • Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a “multiple measures” approach? | YES _____ | NO <u>x</u> _____ | QUALIFIED Y/N _____ |

**Comments:**

**Undergraduate:** It is apparent that department is engaging in assessment activities, but no specific methods are referenced.

**Graduate:**

## ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Were any assessment results reported?	YES__x__	NO____	QUALIFIED Y/N ____
• If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES____	NO__x__	QUALIFIED Y/N ____
• If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement?	YES____	NO____	QUALIFIED Y/N __x__
• Were the results tied to goals for student learning?	YES____	NO__x__	QUALIFIED Y/N ____

*Comments:*

**Undergraduate:**

**Graduate: Report discloses a number of numerical results and then does indicate areas for improvement. However, no context or explanation is given for the meaning of the results.**

In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals. Use 'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify those results which are applicable to institutional/Essential Studies goal achievement. For indicated items, please describe findings in the appropriate section below. .

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1 Communication – written or oral (“able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience”)  
\_\_\_\_G\_\_ 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or “be intellectually curious”; analyze, synthesize, evaluate)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or “be intellectually creative”; explore, discover, engage)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (“apply empirical data...analyze graphical information”)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 5 Information literacy (“be able to access and evaluate...for effective, efficient, and ethical use”)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 6 Diversity (“demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding...”)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 7 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)  
\_\_\_\_\_ 8 Service/citizenship (“share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

*Comments regarding results and the application of results to departmental, institutional and Essential Studies goals:*

**Undergraduate:**

**Graduate: Much work needs to be done.**

## 4. CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?	YES____x__	NO____	QUALIFIED Y/N ____
• If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES____x__	NO____	QUALIFIED Y/N ____

*Comments:*

**Undergraduate:**

**Graduate: Department articulates a plan for curricular and pedagogical changes. There is no indication that plans have yet been implemented.**

## SUMMARY

### *Strengths*

- ☐ A specific plan for assessment is in place.
- ☐ Student learning goals are well-articulated.
- ☐ Assessment methods are clearly described.
- ☐ Assessment methods are appropriately selected.
- ☐ Assessment methods are well-implemented.
- ☐ Direct and indirect methods are implemented.
- ☐ Results are reported.
- ☐ Results are tied to closing the loop.  
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)

### *Areas for Improvement*

- ☒ No specific plan for assessment is in place.
- ☒ Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
- ☒ Assessment methods are not clearly described.
- ☐ Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
- ☐ Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
- ☐ A single type of assessment methods predominates.
- ☐ No results are reported.
- ☐ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.  
(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)

## OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Clearly, the department is engaging in assessment activities. But because student learning goals and assessment methods are not clearly described, it is very difficult for these reviewers to evaluate the program's effectiveness.

Reviewer(s):	Name	Kirsten Dauphinais	Naima Kaabouch
	Department	Law	Computer Science
	Phone Number	76396	74460
	e-mail	<a href="mailto:dauphinais@law.und.edu">dauphinais@law.und.edu</a>	<a href="mailto:naimakaabouch@mail.und.nodak.edu">naimakaabouch@mail.und.nodak.edu</a>

Section 1: \_\_N\_\_ Section 2: \_\_N\_\_ Section 3: \_\_N\_\_ Section 4: \_\_N\_\_

### Coding Key:

- Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well
- N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning
- NA = no information available
- ? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done