Final 1

## UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2007-08 Annual Reports

DEPARTMENT_	Political Science		OATE4-2	0-09
COMMITTEE M	EMBER(S) CONDUCTING R	EVIEWCassie G	erhardt & D	an Tienter
1. STUDENT LEAI	RNING GOALS			
• If so, we	ny goals referenced? ere goals well articulated? s address student learning?	YES_U & G YES_U & G YES_U & G	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:				
Undergradı	nate: The Political Science Program	and the Public Admin	istration Progr	ram share the same six goals.
Graduate: 7	The department identified the same graduate levels are the same a			
_U & G_ 1 Commun _U & G_ 2 Thinking 3 Thinking 4 Thinking 5 Informati 6 Diversity _U & G_ 7 Lifelong 8 Service/ci	the referenced departmental goals. dication – written or oral ("able to we and reasoning – critical thinking (cand reasoning – creative thinking (cand reasoning – quantitative reason on literacy ("be able to access and equation ("demonstrate understanding of divide learning ("commit themselves to literate the commit themselves to literate the canonical departmental goals and alignmental goals are goals and alignmental goals and alignmental goals are goals and alignmental goals are goals and goals and goals and goals and goals are goals are goals and goals are goals are goals and goals are goals and goals are goals and goals are goals are goals ar	or "be intellectually cur for "be intellectually cre thing ("apply empirical of evaluatefor effective, versity and use that und felong learning") th for their communities	ious"; analyze active"; explor lataanalyze efficient, and erstanding"	e, synthesize, evaluate) e, discover, engage) graphical information") ethical use") //orld")
Undergradı	specific to Political Science as students majoring in Political	s a discipline. The goal	ls clearly state	the skills and knowledge that
Graduate:				
2. ASSESSMENT N	METHODS			
<ul> <li>If so, we methods goals?</li> <li>Were be methods</li> </ul>	sessment methods referenced? ere specifically chosen assessment appropriately aligned with individ- oth direct and indirect assessment as used as components of a "multiple es" approach?	YES_U & G	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N  QUALIFIED Y/N  QUALIFIED Y/N

## Comments:

**Undergraduate:** The Political Science Assessment plan (as posted on the Assessment website) outlines the specific assessment methods to be utilized for each of the six stated goals. The assessment methods include both direct as well as indirect measures.

Final 2

**Graduate:** Assessment methods at the graduate level include comprehensive exams, independent studies, and exit questionnaires.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS			
Were any assessment results reported?	YES_U & G	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
• If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES_U No		QUALIFIED Y/NG
<ul> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement?</li> <li>Were the results tied to goals for student</li> </ul>	YES_U	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NG
<ul> <li>Were the results fied to goals for student learning?</li> </ul>	YES_U	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NG
Comments:			
Undergraduate: Assessment results from instructor reviews were based on rubrics dev addressed oral communication, wr	eloped by the Univ	ersity. The score	es included in the report
<b>Graduate:</b> The results indicated that, during the repeature exams and that 10 students were we specifically suggest a need for imp	orking on independ	lent studies. Ass	sessment results did not
'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify those results achievement. For indicated items, please describe findings in _U1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write a _U2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (5 Information literacy ("be able to access and evalu6 Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversit7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for	the appropriate sec and speak in variou e intellectually curi e intellectually crea "apply empirical datefor effective, by and use that under g learning")	ction below s settings with a ous"; analyze, sy ative"; explore, d ataanalyze gra efficient, and eth erstanding")	sense of purpose/audience") ynthesize, evaluate) liscover, engage) phical information") ical use")
Comments regarding results and the application of results to	o departmental, ins	titutional and E	ssential Studies goals:
<b>Undergraduate:</b> The department focused its assessment and written communication. The adepartment can focus attention in a	assessment results p	rovide insight in	to areas in which the
Graduate:			
4. CLOSING THE LOOP			
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?	YES_U & G	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results			
directly address goals for student learning?	YES U	NO	OUALIFIED Y/N G

Comments:

Final 3

**Undergraduate:** Assessment results indicated a slight deficiency in students' oral communication skills. Based on this information, the department resolved to incorporate more oral communication exercises in classes. In developing the Essential Studies Capstone Course, the department revised the course exercises to include four oral communication exercises, and increase from the one exercise that was originally planned.

**Graduate:** Based on student feedback, the department created a course in cooperation with the Economics

Department (POLS 503: Government and Business). Major curriculum changes have been put off
until input from new faculty can be integrated.

## **SUMMARY**

Strengths		Areas for improvement			
_U & G A specific plan for assessment is in placeU & G Student learning goals are well-articulatedU & G Assessment methods are clearly describedU & G Assessment methods are appropriately selectedU Assessment methods are well-implementedU Direct and indirect methods are implementedU Results are reportedU Results are tied to closing the loop(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)  OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION			No specific plan for assessment is in place.  Student learning goals are not well-articulated.  Assessment methods are not clearly described.  Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.  Assessment methods are not well-implemented.  A single type of assessment methods predominates.  No results are reported.  Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.  (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)		
clearly articular	ted goals that compleme ach goal and include mu	ent and include aspects of	f the Essential Studie	within their program. The departi s goals. Assessment methods have ad and are used to inform decisions	e been
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	_Cassie Gerhardt_ _Memorial Union_ _777.3367_ _cassiegerhardt@r		_Daniel Tienter _student _daniel.tienter@und.edu	
Section 1: _Y_	Section 2: _Y	Section 3: _Y	Section 4: _Y		
N :	<ul> <li>yes, this is done appr</li> <li>no, this is not done at</li> <li>no information avails</li> </ul>	all, or it is not done in re	elationship to studen	t learning	

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done