UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2008-09 Annual Reports <u>GRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

| DEPARTMENT_ | Educational Leadership | | DATE | May 3, 2010 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| COMMITTEE MI | EMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVI | EWC | assie Gerhar | dt & Ruth Paur |
| 1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS | | | | |
| • If so, we | y goals referenced? ere goals well articulated? s address student learning? | YES YES YES | NO_X NO_X NO_X | QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N |
| No specific learning g | oals were stated. | | | |
| 2. ASSESSMENT M | IETHODS | | | |
| • If so, we | essment methods referenced? ere specifically chosen assessment appropriately aligned with individual | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N _X |
| goals? | th direct and indirect assessment | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N _ X |
| methods | used as components of a "multiple s" approach? | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N _X |
| Comments: Educational Leadership began a comprehensive review of assessment in conjunction with the NCATE visitation in 2007-2008. As a result, the department has proposed an updated assessment plan. Qualified Y/N was noted as none of the specific methods have been implemented. The plan indicates that upon approval, the new assessment program will be implemented with all newly accepted students beginning in Summer 2011. Students will progress through various 'transition phases' and a variety of assessment methods will be utilized both during and upon completion of the 'transition phases'. The plan indicates both direct and indirect assessment methods. | | | | |
| 3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS | | | | |
| Were any assessment | - | YES | NO_X_ | QUALIFIED Y/N |
| they spe | ere the results clear in terms of how cifically affirm achievement of goals? ere the results clear in terms of how | YES | NO_X_ | QUALIFIED Y/N |
| they ind | icate need for improvement? e results tied to goals for student | YES | NO_X_ | QUALIFIED Y/N |
| learnin | | YES | NO_X_ | QUALIFIED Y/N |

Comments:

Given that the assessment plan has not yet been implemented, no assessment results were reported.

4. CLOSING THE LOOP Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment YES_____ NO_X_ QUALIFIED Y/N ___ results reported? If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES_____ NO_X_ QUALIFIED Y/N ___ Comments: Although a new assessment plan has been developed, with no data reported, the basis for the assessment plan changes is unclear. **SUMMARY** Strengths Areas for Improvement A specific plan for assessment is in place. __ No specific plan for assessment is in place. ____Student learning goals are well-articulated. __X__ Student learning goals are not well-articulated. ______Assessment methods are clearly described. ____ Assessment methods are not clearly described. ____Assessment methods are appropriately selected. Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. __X__ Assessment methods are not well-implemented. Assessment methods are well-implemented. _Direct and indirect methods are implemented. ____ A single type of assessment methods predominates. _Results are reported. __X__ No results are reported. _____ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. __Results are tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is tied to evidence.) (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.) OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS: There is no assessment information available for FY2009. The material reviewed for this report was received from the department chair and only addresses assessment of students enrolled in the P-12 M.Ed. Program; assessment plans for other departmental programs were not addressed. The material received and reviewed for this report indicates that the assessment plan is in the proposal stage with approval anticipated during the 2010-2011 academic year. The plan does not articulate specific learning goals. The department is encouraged to collect and report data on an annual basis. MATERIALS REVIEWED _X_ Assessment plan (as posted) Annual report _ Appendices (cited in annual report) _____ Previous assessment review X___ Other (please describe) – Assessment Plan UPDATE Report, March 2010, received from department chair Reviewer(s): _Cassie Gerhardt_ __Ruth Paur Name __Medical Laboratory Science Programs Memorial Union Department Phone Number 777-3667 777-2651

Coding Key:

Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well

N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

Section 1: __N___ Section 2: _?___ Section 3: __NA___ Section 4: __N___

NA = no information reported

e-mail

? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done

ruthpaur@medicine.nodak.edu

cassiegerhardt@mail.und.edu
