UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2008-09 Annual Reports <u>GRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

DEPARTMENT_Educational Foundations & Research	arch	DATE_	4-8-10
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW_Cassie Gerhardt & Ruth Paur			
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS			
 Were any goals referenced? If so, were goals well articulated? Do goals address student learning? Comments: The department's five goals are well articulated and articulated and articulated and articulated and articulated articula	YES_X YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS			
Were any specific assessment methods referenced? • If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
goals? • Were both direct and indirect assessment	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments: The department indicates assessment methods specific to each of the five learning goals. Assessment methods include both direct and indirect methods and each learning goal is assessed through multiple methods. One assessment method listed for each of the learning goals is "external assessment review". The report is not clear as to how an "external assessment review" is facilitated or who is involved in an "external assessment review". A description of the "external review assessment" would further an understanding of the department's assessment methods.			
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS			
Were any assessment results reported?	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? If so, were the results clear in terms of how 	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N
 If so, were the results clear in terms of now they indicate need for improvement? Were the results tied to goals for student 	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N
learning?	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments: No assessment results or data were provided, but instead an expanded description of assessment methods was included.

4. CLOSING THE LOOP Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment YES_____ NO_X__ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ results reported? If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES_____ NO_X_ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ *Comments:* The report did not include any closing the loop activities. **SUMMARY** Strengths Areas for Improvement ____ No specific plan for assessment is in place. **X** A specific plan for assessment is in place. ____ Student learning goals are not well-articulated. **_X**_Student learning goals are well-articulated. _X__Assessment methods are clearly described. ____ Assessment methods are not clearly described. ____ Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. _X__Assessment methods are appropriately selected. ____Assessment methods are well-implemented. _____ Assessment methods are not well-implemented. ____ A single type of assessment methods predominates. Direct and indirect methods are implemented. ____Results are reported. _X__ No results are reported. ____ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. __Results are tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.) (Decision-making is tied to evidence.) **OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:** The report indicates thoughtful learning goals and assessment methods, but does not include any assessment results. If implemented, the stated assessment methods would likely contribute valuable assessment data regarding student learning and the department's specific learning goals. At this point it is recommended that the department implement their stated assessment methods and/or report the data from the implemented assessment methods. MATERIALS REVIEWED _X_ Annual report Assessment plan (as posted) _____ Appendices (cited in annual report) Previous assessment review _ Other (please describe) Reviewer(s): _Cassie Gerhardt_ __Ruth Paur Name __Medical Laboratory Science Programs Department Memorial Union 777-3667 Phone Number 777-2651 cassiegerhardt@mail.und.edu ruthpaur@medicine.nodak.edu e-mail

Coding Key:

Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well

Section 1: __Y__ Section 2: _Y__ Section 3: __N__ Section 4: _N___

N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

NA = no information available

? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done
