UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2008-09 Annual Reports <u>GRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

DEPARTMENT Physical Therapy	_DATE	_April, 8, 20	010
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING RE	VIEWKris	<u>ta Lynn Min</u>	notte, Mary K. Askim-Lovseth
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS			
Were any goals referenced?If so, were goals well articulated?Do goals address student learning?	YES_X_ YES_X_ YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:			
The Department of Physical Therapy began offering a Doc posted online is dated 2005. Goals and objectives are well goals that relate to the faculty and the program. Goals and communication skills, the enhancement of critical thinking	l articulated and d objectives incli	address stude ude reference	ent learning with the exception of two to the practice of physical therapy,
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS			
 Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual 	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
goals?		NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach? 	YESX	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:			
A broad array of assessment methods are utilized, including competency and satisfaction ratings, alumni surveys). There assess it.			
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS			
Were any assessment results reported?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NX
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals' If so, were the results clear in terms of how 	? YES	NO_X	QUALIFIED Y/N
they indicate need for improvement?	YES	NOX	QUALIFIED Y/N
 Were the results tied to goals for student learning? 	YES	NOX	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

Although a detailed assessment plan is given, limited data are provided regarding assessment results. The use of course grades (not an assessment measure) and subjective comments such as "Students have performed satisfactorily in all courses," "... ratings are, in fact, quite complimentary toward our students," "All of our outcome data indicates that we are accomplishing our mission, goals, and objectives, and that we are preparing doctoral level students that are well qualified to enter clinical practice," were common. The pass rate for the National Physical Therapy Examination was given as 91.5% in 2007 and 95% in 2008(national average was 88%). We encourage the reporting of specific assessment findings related to specific learning goals.

4. CLOSING	THE LOOP					
results reported • If	so, do curricular or other	improvements/	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _X _	
	nanges arising from asses rectly address goals for s		YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/NX	
Comments:						
Assessment res curricular char	ults in the past have led t	o curriculum changes cate that the Physica	s (the most recen l Therapy progra	nt in Fall 20 am reflects	l no curricular changes are needed. 108). No results are reported from t on assessment results, and will, if redited in April 2007.	
SUMMARY						
	Strengths		Areas for Improvement			
_XStudent le _XAssessmeAssessmeAssessme _XDirect andResults anResults ar	c plan for assessment is interacting goals are well-artisent methods are clearly desent methods are appropriated indirect methods are well-impled indirect methods are impresented. The tied to closing the loop. The making is tied to evidente.	culated. scribed. tely selected. lemented. plemented.	Student l Assessme Assessme A single X_ No result Results a	earning goa ent method ent method ent method type of asse ts are repor ire not clear	assessment is in place. als are not well-articulated. s are not clearly described. s are not appropriately selected. s are not well-implemented. essment methods predominates. ted. (Limited) rly tied to closing the loop. s not directly tied to evidence.)	
OVERALL S	SUMMARY AND RE	COMMENDATIO	ONS:			
aligned with ea reaccreditation	nch. Overall, it is noted to a report. It is recommend ch goal and objective.	hat the Department h	as a very success	sful prograi	rticulated, with assessment methods m, as evidenced with a stellar uture reports in order to assess	
			77. A	. 1 (. 1) 4 2005	
	report ices (cited in annual report lease describe)	rt)		ment pian (a is assessme	as posted) August 2005 nt review	
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Krista Lynn Min Sociology 777-4419 krista.minnotte@		Marketi 777-293		

this

Section 1: __Y__ Section 2: _Y__ Section 3: __N__ Section 4: __?__

Coding Key:

= yes, this is done appropriately and well Y

N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

NA = no information available

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done