### UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

# Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2009/2010 Annual Reports **GRADUATE PROGRAMS**

of

<b>DEPARTMENT:</b> Biology	<b>DATE:</b> 3-3-11				
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEW: Dexter	Perkins and	d JoAnne Yearwood		
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS					
<ul><li>Were any goals referenced?</li><li>If so, were goals well articulated?</li><li>Do goals address student learning?</li></ul>	YES_X_ YES_X_ YES_X	NO NO NO			
Comments: The graduate Assessment plan has three learning goals with the direct and in-direct assessment methods used at the Maste			h, along with a detailed description o		
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS					
<ul> <li>Were any specific assessment methods referenced?</li> <li>If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual</li> </ul>	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
goals?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
<ul> <li>Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?</li> </ul>	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
Comments: At the graduate level students are assessed to determine their statistics and writing. Later on in the program they defend a Graduate students are also surveyed annually about their per as well as their thoughts on how well they actually achieved	thesis or disser rceptions of the	tation and a program ar	lso take a comprehensive exam.		
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS					
<ul> <li>Were any assessment results reported?</li> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?</li> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how</li> </ul>	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
<ul><li>they indicate need for improvement?</li><li>Were the results tied to goals for student</li></ul>	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		
learning?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N		

This was the first year that the department had data for a single cohort of students in the graduate program. The data collected for each student learning goal was shared in tables as well as in narrative format. The report indicated that in the three year window covered, "graduate students are doing well, although there were areas that could be improved".

4. CLOSING T	THE LOOP					
results reported?  • If s cha	so, do curricular or other im- unges arising from assessme	provements/ nt results				_ QUALIFIED Y/N
dire	ectly address goals for stude	ent learning?	YES	_X	NO	_ QUALIFIED Y/N
should respond. agreed to a number	Faculty have committed to	making a more con nat will expose stud	nscious d	and delib	erate effa	rsults to determine how the department fort in a number of areas and have also ture, help them develop higher-order
SUMMARY	Strengths				Areas f	for Improvement
		No specific plan for assessment is in placeStudent learning goals are not well-articulatedAssessment methods are not clearly describedAssessment methods are not appropriately selectedAssessment methods are not well-implementedAssessment methods predominatesNo results are reportedResults are not clearly tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)  ONS:  OVER:  OVERYWELL IMPLEMENTED AND THE PROPERTY OF T				
MATERIALS I	REVIEWED					
x Annual report Appendices (cited in annual report) Other (please describe)		x Assessment plan (as posted)x Previous assessment review				
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Dexter Perkins Geology 2991 dexter.perkins@u	ınd.edu		Teachin 3947	e Yearwood ng & Learning .yearwood@email.und.edu
Section 1:Y _	Section 2:Y	Section 3:Y	_ Sect	ion 4:	_Y	

Coding Key:

yes, this is done appropriately and well
no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

NA = no information reported

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done

### UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

# Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2009-2010 Annual Reports <u>UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

**DATE:** 3-7-11

**DEPARTMENT:** Biology

COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW: Dexter Perkins and Jo-Anne Yearwood						
1. STUDENT	LEARNING GOALS					
• If	Vere any goals referenced? So, were goals well articulated? To goals address student learning?	YES_X_ YES_X_ YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N		
program assess	ept. received a glowing review when last revie sment. The undergraduate Assessment Plan ha ribes each of the educational experiences that a	is two student le	arning goal	ls with three or more objectives. The		
(shown in align which are similX1 CX2 T4 Thi5 Ir6 Div7 Life	the Departmental goals, please also consider Uniment within parentheses). Use 'U' (undergrader to the referenced departmental goals. Communication – written or oral ("able to write hinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "hinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or inking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning of formation literacy ("be able to access and evarersity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity elong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong evice/citizenship ("share responsibility both for	e and speak in value intellectually the intellectually ("apply empirical uatefor effects and use that ug learning")	raduate) to in arrious setting curious"; a y creative"; all dataanative, efficien nderstandir	identify UND/Essential Studies goals ags with a sense of purpose/audience") analyze, synthesize, evaluate) explore, discover, engage) alyze graphical information") ent, and ethical use") ag")		
<b>goals:</b> Departmental s	arding departmental goals and alignment of a student learning goals for students graduating igned with the Institutional and Essential Stud	with an underg				
2. ASSESSMI	ENT METHODS					
<ul> <li>Were any specific assessment methods referenced?</li> <li>If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals?</li> <li>Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?</li> </ul>	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N			
	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N			
	YESX_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N			
Comments:						

The Biology Dept. has a well-developed "direct, value-added" and multi-dimensional assessment plan and uses a variety of direct and indirect assessment methods to measure student learning at the undergraduate level. At the undergraduate level the direct methods include a combination of multiple-choice as well as written assessment exams that are administered to incoming freshmen and seniors. Some of the indirect methods include the collection of data about the success of students in Introductory and Core Courses and Alumni surveys.

# 3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS YES\_X\_ NO\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_\_\_\_ Were any assessment results reported? If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES X NO QUALIFIED Y/N Were the results tied to goals for student learning? NO\_\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_\_\_\_ YES\_X\_ Comments: Data is collected on 1<sup>st</sup> year students and graduating seniors. The report indicated that no compelling curricular changes were necessary at this time based on the assessment findings. In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals. Use 'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify those results which are applicable to institutional/Essential Studies goal achievement. For indicated items, please describe findings in the appropriate section below. . \_\_\_x\_\_\_ 1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience") \_\_\_x\_\_\_\_2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate) x 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage) \_\_\_x\_\_\_ 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical data...analyze graphical information") \_\_\_x\_\_\_\_5 Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluate...for effective, efficient, and ethical use") 6 Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding...") \_\_\_x\_\_\_\_7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning") \_\_ 8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world") Comments regarding results and the application of results to departmental, institutional and Essential Studies goals: The use of written assessment activities (they call them CLAT = College Learning Assessment Tasks) allows direct evaluation of student writing/communication skills (#1, above). The CLAT exams are also evaluated for critical thinking and scientific reasoning (involving components of #2 and #3, above). Evaluations are done using specific rubrics tied to each of the programmatic learning goals. Additionally, one of their goals (and data they collect for that goal) deals with ethics and so contributes to #5 and #7, above. 4. CLOSING THE LOOP Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?

• If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?

YES\_\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_x\_\_\_

## Comments:

The assessment report indicated that no major deficiencies were apparent in effectively meeting departmental goals and as a result "no compelling curricular changes are warranted at this time". There was mention of informing the faculty about the learning goals where seniors performed lowest and encouraging them to place more emphasis on those goals in their classes. There was also mention of changes that would be taking place regarding the CLAT being replaced by the Senior Capstone Seminar.

SUN	ΛN	ЛΔ	RY	1

Y

= yes, this is done appropriately and well

NA = no information reported

= no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done

#### Strengths Areas for Improvement **\_\_x** A specific plan for assessment is in place. \_\_\_\_ No specific plan for assessment is in place. \_\_\_\_ Student learning goals are not well-articulated. \_\_x\_\_Student learning goals are well-articulated. \_\_x\_\_Assessment methods are clearly described. \_\_\_\_ Assessment methods are not clearly described. \_\_x\_\_Assessment methods are appropriately selected. \_\_\_\_ Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. \_\_x\_\_Assessment methods are well-implemented. \_\_\_\_ Assessment methods are not well-implemented. \_\_\_\_ A single type of assessment methods predominates. \_\_x\_\_Direct and indirect methods are implemented. \_\_\_\_ No results are reported. \_x\_\_\_Results are reported. \_\_x\_\_ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. Results are tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.) (Decision-making is tied to evidence.) **OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:** The Biology Dept. has a very good assessment plan that is very well implemented. In future reports, it would be good if they gave examples of specific changes made in response to the data they collect, and where possible describe or show how the changes were effective. MATERIALS REVIEWED \_\_x\_\_ Annual report \_\_x\_\_ Assessment plan (as posted) \_\_\_\_\_ Appendices (cited in annual report) \_\_\_x\_\_ Previous assessment review \_\_\_\_ Other (please describe) **Dexter Perkins** Reviewer(s): Name JoAnne Yearwood Geology Department Teaching & Learning Phone Number 2991 3947 dexter.perkins@und.edu e-mail joanne.yearwood@email.und.edu Section 1: \_\_Y\_\_ Section 2: \_Y\_\_ Section 3: \_\_Y\_\_ Section 4: \_\_?\_\_ Coding Key: