UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2008/2009Annual Reports <u>UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

| | CHEROMADUMETROOMIND | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| DEPARTMENT: Indian Studies | DATE: 4/12/2011 | |

COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW: Jo-Anne Yearwood/Dexter Perkins

1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS

| • | Were any goals referenced? | YESx | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------|----|---------------|
| • | If so, were goals well articulated? | YESx | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N |
| • | Do goals address student learning? | YES x | NO | OUALIFIED Y/N |

Comments:

The goals listed in the department's annual report FY2010 states that: The graduate from Indian studies

- 1. Understands variability within and between tribal groups
- 2. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of the relationship between the federal and state governments and Indian tribes
- 3. Has an awareness of social justice issues facing Native Americans and techniques used to address them
- 4. Recognizes stereotypes, ethnocentrism and racism and acts in culturally appropriate ways to counteract them
- 5. Locates and evaluates relevant material
- 6. Communicates in a professional manner orally and in writing
- 7. Incorporates facts and ideas from Indian history and culture into professional activities

Indian Studies does not have a current Assessment Plan posted. Information on the Assessment Committee's website indicates that a new plan is in progress.

| In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UND's Institutional and Essential Studies goals for student learning |
|--|
| (shown in alignment within parentheses). Use 'U' (undergraduate) to identify UND/Essential Studies goals which are similar |
| to the referenced departmental goals. |
| u 1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience") |
| u2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate) |
| 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage) |
| 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical dataanalyze graphical information") |
| 5 Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluatefor effective, efficient, and ethical use") |
| u 6 Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding") |
| 7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning") |
| u 8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world") |
| |

Comments regarding departmental goals and alignment of departmental goals with institutional and Essential Studies goals:

The Indian Studies student learning goals are aligned with the University's Institutional and Essential Studies goals for student learning and emphasize both written and oral communication, as well as critical thinking skills. The Essential Studies goals of Diversity and Service are implied when you read the department's learning goals 3, 4 and 7.

| 2. ASSESSMENT METHODS | | | | |
|--|--------|------|-------------------|--|
| Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual | YES_x_ | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N | |
| goals? | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N x | |
| Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach? | YES_x_ | _ NO | QUALIFIED Y/N | |
| Comments: The report describes the direct and indirect measures the department uses to assess their essential studies courses. However, when they described the ES areas that were focused on for the "one program goal for student learning" that the program concentrated on "this year," it is unclear which assessment method or methods was used. | | | | |
| 3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS | | | | |
| Were any assessment results reported? • If so, were the results clear in terms of how | YES_x_ | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N | |
| they specifically affirm achievement of goals? • If so, were the results clear in terms of how | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/Nx | |
| they indicate need for improvement? Were the results tied to goals for student | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N _x_ | |
| learning? | YESx | NO | QUALIFIED Y/N | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| The report indicated that the data showed the department was successful in "teaching students that American Indian cultures are neither monolithic nor static," They further stated that faculty participated in "interesting discussions" regarding the collected data, but no additional specifics were shared. | | | | |
| In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals. Use 'U' (undergraduate) to identify those results which are applicable to institutional/Essential Studies goal achievement. For indicated items, please describe findings in the appropriate section below 1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience")2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate) | | | | |
| Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage) Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical dataanalyze graphical information") Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluatefor effective, efficient, and ethical use") Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding") | | | | |
| 7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning") 8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world") | | | | |
| Comments regarding results and the application of results to departmental, institutional and Essential Studies goals: | | | | |
| The annual report indicated that the Indian studies program of Indian Studies students," enrolled in their ES courses, but no the responses to that question. | | | | |

4. CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?

YES__x__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

• If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results

| directly address goals for student learning? | YES | NO | QUALIFIED Y/Nx_ |
|--|-----|----|-----------------|
|--|-----|----|-----------------|

Comments: The Indian Studies department identified changes that needed to be made based on their findings, but indicated that they had not yet made any progress on the identified changes. Those changes include:

- 1. Developing a yearly interview process with all majors
- 2. Refining the rubric to score direct assessment

N

NA = no information available

3. Re-validating all of their Essential Studies courses

| SUMMARY | Strengths | | | Areas for Improvement | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| A specific plan for assessment is in placexStudent learning goals are well-articulatedxAssessment methods are clearly describedxAssessment methods are appropriately selectedAssessment methods are well-implementedxDirect and indirect methods are implementedxResults are reportedResults are tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is tied to evidence.) | | lated ibed y selected nted mented | _x No specific plan for assessment is in place Student learning goals are not well-articulated Assessment methods are not clearly described Assessment methods are not appropriately selected x Assessment methods are not well-implemented A single type of assessment methods predominates No results are reported x Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.) | | | |
| OVERALL SU | UMMARY AND RECO | MMENDATIONS | S: | | | |
| Assessment Con | nmittee website. Further, the es are listed (none were listed) | e department is encou | raged to make | nent Plan as soon as possible and post it on the sure that under each Student Learning goal, | | |
| | report es (cited in annual report) ease describe) | | | ent plan (as posted) s assessment review | | |
| Reviewer(s): | Name Department Phone Number e-mail | Dexter Perkins Geology 2991 dexter.perkins@und | l.edu | JoAnne Yearwood Teaching & Learning 3947 joanne.yearwood@email.und.edu | | |
| Section 1:Y_ | _ Section 2:? Sec | tion 3: _Y/N? | Section 4: | ? | | |
| Coding Key: | ves this is done annronria | tely and well | | | | |

= no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done