## UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

## Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in <u>2011</u> Annual Reports <u>UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

DEPARTMENT B.A. Political Science and B.S. Pub	<u>lic Adminis</u>	stration	<u>DATE</u> 4-16-2012	
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW Paul Drechsel and Roxanne Hurley				
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS				
<ul> <li>Were any goals referenced?</li> <li>If so, were goals well articulated?</li> <li>Do goals address student learning?</li> </ul>	YES_X_ YES_X_ YES_X_	NO NO NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N	
<b>Comments:</b> The Political Science Program and Public Administration Pro	gram share th	ne same six go	pals.	
In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UI (shown in alignment within parentheses) and identify which gas a source of the parentheses. It is a source of the parentheses and identify which gas a source of the parentheses and identify which gas a source of the parentheses and identify which gas a source of the parentheses and reasoning of the parenthese of the parenthes	goals are similard speak in value intellectually be intellectually apply empiratefor effectly and use that g learning") at their communication.	lar to departmarious settings y curious"; are ly creative"; a ical dataan etive, efficient understandinities and for goals with in	nental goals. s with a sense of purpose/audience") nalyze, synthesize, evaluate) explore, discover, engage) alyze graphical information") tt, and ethical use") ng")  the world")  estitutional and Essential Studies	
Were any specific assessment methods referenced?  • If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
<ul><li>goals?</li><li>Were both direct and indirect assessment</li></ul>	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
<ul> <li>Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?</li> </ul>	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
Comments: The assessment plan includes both direct and ind	irect assessme	ent measures.		
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS				
Were any assessment results reported?  • If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES_X YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
<ul> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement?</li> </ul>	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
<ul> <li>Were the results tied to goals for student learning?</li> </ul>	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	

*Comments:* The annual report provides detailed results on the assessment of their strategic plan goals.

In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals.  Indicate any goals for which the department presents findings, and, for indicated items, describe findings below. X 1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience") X 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate) X 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage) X 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical dataanalyze graphical information")  5 Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluatefor effective, efficient, and ethical use")  6 Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding")  7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning")  8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world")				
Comments regarding results and the application of results The assessment efforts concentrate on critical thinking, oral continue the current assessment practices in the coming year	communication and written communication. The department will			
4. CLOSING THE LOOP				
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?  • If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES_X NO QUALIFIED Y/N           YES_X NO QUALIFIED Y/N			
Comments:				
This is based on figures tracked since Fall 2004, the departm	percent is the acceptable number correct for any content area. The sent believes that students are attaining the content and are being etailed report showing the results of their findings and how it			
SUMMARY Strengths	Areas for Improvement			
<ul> <li>X A specific plan for assessment is in place.</li> <li>X Student learning goals are well-articulated.</li> <li>X Assessment methods are clearly described.</li> <li>X Assessment methods are appropriately selected.</li> <li>X Assessment methods are well-implemented.</li> <li>X Direct and indirect methods are implemented.</li> <li>X Results are reported.</li> <li>X Results are tied to closing the loop.</li> <li>(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)</li> </ul>	No specific plan for assessment is in place.  Student learning goals are not well-articulated.  Assessment methods are not clearly described.  Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.  Assessment methods are not well-implemented.  A single type of assessment methods predominates.  No results are reported.  Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.  (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)			
<b>OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:</b> The Political Science Department has a well documented assessment program. The goals are clear and contain aspects of the Essential Studies goals.				
MATERIALS REVIEWED				
X Annual report Appendices (cited in annual report) Other (please describe)	_X Assessment plan (as posted) Previous assessment review			

Reviewer(s): Name Roxanne Hurley Paul Drechsel Department \_Nursing Aerospace Phone Number 7-4525 7-4923 Roxanne.hurley@email.und.edu drechsel@aero.und.edu e-mail \_\_\_\_\_\_ Section 1: \_\_Y\_\_\_ Section 2: \_\_Y\_\_\_ Section 3: \_Y\_\_\_ Section 4: \_\_\_Y\_\_

**FINAL** 

Coding Key:

= yes, this is done appropriately and well Y

= no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning N

NA = no information reported

= action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done

## UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2011 **Annual Report** 

GRADUATE PROGRAMS				
DEPARTMENT_M. S. Public Administration	DA	TE	4/12/2012	
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEW <u>Pau</u>	l Drec	chsel and Roxanne Hurley	
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS				
<ul><li>Were any goals referenced?</li><li>If so, were goals well articulated?</li><li>Do goals address student learning?</li></ul>		NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N	
Comments: The department identifies the same goals for its graduate program posted on the web has its own assessment plan separate from the undergraduate post their plan.	site. In the U	JG Ass	sessment Plan it says that the graduate level	
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS				
Were any specific assessment methods referenced?  • If so, were specifically chosen assessment	YES_X	NO_	QUALIFIED Y/N	
methods appropriately aligned with individual goals?	YES	NO_	QUALIFIED Y/NX	
<ul> <li>Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?</li> </ul>	YES	NO_	QUALIFIED Y/NX	
Comments: The Department requires all graduate students to complete an clear how they plan to measure whether graduate students has considered be an indirect measure.				
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS				
Were any assessment results reported?	YES_X_	NO_	QUALIFIED Y/N	
• If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N _X	
If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement?  We also be described to the formula of the second seco	YES_X_	NO_	QUALIFIED Y/N	
<ul> <li>Were the results tied to goals for student learning?</li> </ul>	YES	NO_	QUALIFIED Y/NX_	
Comments: In the reporting period, 14 students took the comprehensive e passed; one has yet to try again. It would be helpful to link co				
4. CLOSING THE LOOP				
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?	YES_X_	NO	O QUALIFIED Y/N	

FINAL

• If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES NO QUALIFIED Y/N _X			
<i>Comments:</i> The Department requires each student who completes the MPA to complete an exit questionnaire about the program. The MPA Advisory board meets yearly to discuss the results and consider any changes to the program. Major curriculum changes in the MPA program have been postponed until the effects of increased enrollments can be assessed.				
SUMMARY Strengths	Areas for Improvement			
A specific plan for assessment is in place.  Student learning goals are well-articulated.  Assessment methods are clearly described.  Assessment methods are appropriately selected.  Assessment methods are well-implemented.  Direct and indirect methods are implemented.  Results are reported.  Results are tied to closing the loop.  (Decision-making is tied to evidence.)	_X No specific plan for assessment is in place.  Student learning goals are not well-articulated.  Assessment methods are not clearly described.  Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.  Assessment methods are not well-implemented.  A single type of assessment methods predominates.  No results are reported.  Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.  (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)			
OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:				
We recommend that the graduate program develops their own Assessment Plan to more clearly articulate the student learning goals at the graduate level.				
MATERIALS REVIEWED				
X Annual report Appendices (cited in annual report) Other (please describe)	X Assessment plan (as posted)X Previous assessment review			
Reviewer(s): Name Roxanne He Department Nursing Phone Number 7-4525 e-mail Roxanne.h	Paul Drechsel Aerospace 7-4923 nurley@email.und.edu drechsel@aero.und.edu			
Section 1:Y Section 2:? Section 3:?_	Section 4:?			
Coding Key:  Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well  N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not do  NA = no information available  ? = action or progress is apparent; however				