

UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE
Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2011-12 Annual Reports
UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

DEPARTMENT Family Medicine **DATE** 4.12-2013

PROGRAM(S) COVERED IN REVIEW Athletic Training / Sports Medicine

COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW Sukhvarsh Jerath, Joan Hawthorne

1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS

- | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| • Were any goals referenced? | YES <u>X</u> | NO <u> </u> | QUALIFIED Y/N <u> </u> |
| • If so, were goals well articulated? | YES <u> </u> | NO <u> </u> | QUALIFIED Y/N <u>X</u> |
| • Do goals address student learning? | YES <u>X</u> | NO <u> </u> | QUALIFIED Y/N <u> </u> |

Comments: Some of the goals are definitely well articulated with clear verbs (e.g. “uses prevention measures,” “provides standard care”). Others however, use verbs like “understand” and “learn” which are somewhat more difficult to assess well.

In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UND’s Institutional and Essential Studies goals for student learning (shown in alignment within parentheses) and identify which goals are similar to departmental goals.

- 1 Communication – written or oral (“able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience”)
- 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or “be intellectually curious”; analyze, synthesize, evaluate)
- 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or “be intellectually creative”; explore, discover, engage)
- 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (“apply empirical data...analyze graphical information”)
- 5 Information literacy (“be able to access and evaluate...for effective, efficient, and ethical use”)
- 6 Diversity (“demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding...”)
- 7 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)
- 8 Service/citizenship (“share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

Comments regarding departmental goals and alignment of departmental goals with institutional and Essential Studies goals:

The goals for this program do not appear to include those found in the above list. However, it would make sense that, as an undergraduate program, you might identify some areas of alignment with these goals.

2. ASSESSMENT METHODS

- | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Were any specific assessment methods referenced? | YES <u>X</u> | NO <u> </u> | QUALIFIED Y/N <u> </u> |
| • If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? | YES <u> </u> | NO <u>X</u> | QUALIFIED Y/N <u> </u> |
| • Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a “multiple measures” approach? | YES <u>X</u> | NO <u> </u> | QUALIFIED Y/N <u> </u> |

Comments:

During the assessment committee review completed in 2010, it was noted that excellent and appropriate methods are in place but alignment with goals is not articulated. This seems to be a result of accreditation expectations, but can be confusing for other readers.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Were any assessment results reported? YES__X__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

- If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES__X__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES__X__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___
- Were the results tied to goals for student learning? YES__X__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

Comments:

Upon taking a very close look at the results, it was possible to see that they do link directly back to the goals. Outside readers, however would benefit from an outline or map of goals in relation to methods and results.

In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals. Indicate any goals for which the department presents findings, and, for indicated items, describe findings below. .

- _____ 1 Communication – written or oral (“able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience”)
- _____ 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or “be intellectually curious”; analyze, synthesize, evaluate)
- _____ 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or “be intellectually creative”; explore, discover, engage)
- _____ 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (“apply empirical data...analyze graphical information”)
- _____ 5 Information literacy (“be able to access and evaluate...for effective, efficient, and ethical use”)
- _____ 6 Diversity (“demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding...”)
- _____ 7 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)
- _____ 8 Service/citizenship (“share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

Comments regarding results and the application of results to departmental, institutional and Essential Studies goals:
NA

4. CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? YES__X__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

- If so, do curricular or other improvements/changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES__X__ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ___

Comments:

Although one curricular action was described the results generally support continuation of current practices with no major changes needed.

SUMMARY**Strengths**

- _X_ A specific plan for assessment is in place.
- ___ Student learning goals are well-articulated.
- ___ Assessment methods are clearly described.
- ___ Assessment methods are appropriately selected.
- ___ Assessment methods are well-implemented.
- _X_ Direct and indirect methods are implemented.
- _X_ Results are reported.
- _X_ Results are tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)

Areas for Improvement

- ___ No specific plan for assessment is in place.
- ___ Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
- ___ Assessment methods are not clearly described.
- ___ Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
- ___ Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
- ___ A single type of assessment methods predominates.
- ___ No results are reported.
- ___ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Providing more detailed information about the alignment among goals, methods, and results would be helpful. However, it is very good to see your efforts producing results and ensuring that findings are used.

MATERIALS REVIEWED

☒ Annual report ☒ Assessment plan (as posted)
☐ Appendices (cited in annual report) ☒ Previous assessment review
☒ Other (please describe) **Assessment update requested from the department.**

Reviewer(s):	Name	Sukhvarsh Jerath	Joan Hawthorne	
	Department	Civil Engineering	Academic Affairs	
	Phone Number	777-3564	777-4684	
	e-mail	sukhvarsh.jerath@engr.und.edu	joan.hawthorne@und.edu	

Section 1: ☒ Y Section 2: ☐ ? Section 3: ☒ Y Section 4: ☒ Y

Coding Key:

- Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well (bearing in mind the kind of program(s) reviewed and recognizing that assessment is a cyclical process, i.e., with additional kinds of data to be collected in other years)
- N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning
- NA = no information reported
- ? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done

Revision 10/11/12