UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in FY 2012 Annual Report <u>GRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

DEPARTMENT: Office of Medical Education	DAIE: A	April 12, 201	IJ
PROGRAM(S) COVERED IN REVIEW: MD Progra	e m		
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEW: Paul	Drechsel a	nd Bradley Myers
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS			
Were any goals referenced?If so, were goals well articulated?Do goals address student learning?	YES_x YES_x YES_x		QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments: The department identified six student learning demonstrated by conclusion of the degree program. Asset			
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS			
 Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual 	YES <u>x</u>	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 goals? Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple 		NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
measures" approach? Comments: Specific assessment methods are well reference			
Examples of assessment methods used and a summary of 3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS	results and f	indings were	e provided in the annual report.
Were any assessment results reported? • If so, were the results clear in terms of how	YES <u>x</u>	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
they specifically affirm achievement of goals? • If so, were the results clear in terms of how	YES <u>x</u>	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
they indicate need for improvement?Were the results tied to goals for student	YES <u>x</u>	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
	TATE	NO	OLIAL IEIED MAI
learning?	YES_x_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
_	nd the Office ta to identify of the chang e problem-bastudents bec the second y	e of Medical y areas of im ges and how a ased learning ame frustrat ear of the cu	Education routinely collects data provement. As improvements are additional improvements can be g process in our PCL small groups det and disengaged from the learnin rriculum, replacing one of the PCL
Comments: Specific data was reported in the annual report a about the effectiveness of the curriculum and uses that da introduced, data is collected to ascertain the effectiveness made. As an example, student feedback indicated that the not change over the course of the first two years and that process. Team-Based Learning has been introduced into sessions each week. Both student satisfaction scores and of	nd the Office ta to identify of the chang e problem-bastudents bec the second y	e of Medical y areas of im ges and how a ased learning ame frustrat ear of the cu	Education routinely collects data provement. As improvements are additional improvements can be g process in our PCL small groups ded and disengaged from the learnin rriculum, replacing one of the PCL

	If so, do curricular or other in changes arising from assessm directly address goals for stud	ent results	YES <u>x</u>	NO	_QUALIFIED Y/	N
identifying n	Each June a one day retreat najor issues and to develop a anges is minimal to documen	ction items that c	an be used to	address the		
SUMMARY						
	Strengths			Areas f	for Improvement	
x Assessm x Assessm x Assessm x Direct a x Results Results ar	ic plan for assessment is in placerning goals are well-articulated methods are clearly describent methods are appropriately ment methods are well-implement indirect methods are implement exported. The tied to closing the loop. The plane is in placer in placer in placer in placer. The plane is in placer	ated. ibed. r selected. ented. mented.	Studen Assess Assess A sing No res Result	at learning go sment method sment method sment method le type of ass ults are report s are not clea	assessment is in pals are not well-ard ls are not clearly do ls are not appropriate are not well-impessment methods pated. The part of the closing is not directly tied to the closing is not directly the closing is not directly the closing is not	ticulated. escribed. ately selected. blemented. predominates. the loop.
OVERALL	SUMMARY AND RECO	OMMENDATIO	NS:			
The documer minimal. The appears to be	the Office of Medical Education tation of the assessment meth Office of Medical Education a culture of assessment that in SEREVIEWED	ods and results are has made a signifi	very thoroug cant improver	h while docu	mentation of progr	ram changes is
	l report			ssment plan (
	dices (cited in annual report) (please describe)		<u>x</u> Previ	ous assessme	ent review	
Reviewer(s):	Name	Bradley Myers	Pau	ıl Drechsel		
	Department	Law School		iation		
	Phone Number e-mail	7-72228 myers@law.und		.923 chsel@aero.ι	ınd.edu	
Section 1: Coding Key:	Y Section 2:Y	Section 3:Y	_ Section 4	:Y		
Y N	 yes, this is done appropri that assessment is a cyclical no, this is not done at all, 	process, i.e., with	additional kii	nds of data to	be collected in ot	
NA ?	no information availableaction or progress is appa	urent; however, evi	dence is lacki	ng that this is	s completely and a	ppropriately done