UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in __2013-14____ (Academic year)

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

DEPARTMENTEnti	repreneurship		DATE	May 5, 2015	_
PROGRAM(S) COVERED	IN REVIEW	_BBA in Entrep	reneurship	<u>, </u>	
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S	S) CONDUCTING R	EVIEW_Shari	Nelson & J	lames Casler	
1. STUDENT LEARNING GO	OALS				
Were any goals refeIf so, were goals weDo goals address st	ell articulated?	YES_X_ YES_X_ YES_X_	NO NO NO		
Comments:					
The department has assessment p 2012 program review. The plan i goal):					
Goal 2: Students will b	e able to propose approp	oriate plans for fun	ding a ventu	epreneurial venture conce _l re. oroblems entrepreneurially	•
In addition to the program goals, (shown in alignment within pared X 1 Communication – wrong X 2 Thinking and reasons 4 Thinking and reasons 5 Information literacy 6 Diversity ("demonstration of Lifelong learning ("c 8 Service/citizenship ("	intheses) and identify white ritten or oral ("able to wr ing – critical thinking (or ing – creative thinking (or ing – quantitative reason ("be able to access and e rate understanding of divi- commit themselves to life	ich goals are similate and speak in vance of the intellectually or "be intellectually ing ("apply empirically and use that blong learning")	ar to program rious settings curious"; and r creative"; e. cal dataand tive, efficient understandin	goals. s with a sense of purpose/a alyze, synthesize, evaluate aplore, discover, engage) alyze graphical information, and ethical use") g")	udience")
Comments regarding program g	roals and alignment with	institutional and	Essential St	udies goals:	
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS	;				
• If so, were specification	assessment methods referenced? , were specifically chosen assessment ods appropriately aligned with individual s? e both direct and indirect assessment	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
goals?			NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
	mponents of a "multiple	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N	

Comments:

The department assessment plan has a nicely constructed table outlining each learning objective and the educational experience (course), potential assessment assignment, timeline, responsibilities, and use of results and process for documentation and decision-making for each. Potential direct assessment assignments include:

- Feasibility study
- Manufacturing prototype
- Venture plan/presentation
- Final project
- Internship final report

There is an additional matrix chart outlining each learning objective, its associated educational experience (course) and whether the objective will be introduced, reinforced, or emphasized.

The use of results and process for documentation and decision-making is consistent for all objectives; i.e. "The assessment committee will meet once each semester to review graduating senior portfolios, schedule their presentations, and record the results. A discussion of strengths, weaknesses, and corrective action(s) will be conducted at the assessment committee meetings. There is no indication as to how portfolio materials will be assessed in regards to standards for what determines a strength versus a weakness.

There does not appear to be indirect methods of assessment.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS				
 Were any assessment results reported? If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? Were the results tied to goals for student learning? 	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N	
	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
Comments:				
No results were posted. The assessment plan states that "each semester, portfolio materials will be assessed from among the students that semester. All students will deliver a final oral presentation about the key lessons they have learned from their Entrepreneurship studies." Without this information, it is unclear whether this process is occurring. In addition to program goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals. Indicate any goals for which the program presents findings, and, for indicated items, describe findings below. 1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience") 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate) 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage) 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical dataanalyze graphical information") 5 Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluatefor effective, efficient, and ethical use") 6 Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding") 7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning") 8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world") Comments regarding results and the application of results to program, institutional, and Essential Studies goals:				
4. CLOSING THE LOOP				
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? • If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results	YES	NO X _	_ QUALIFIED Y/N	
directly address goals for student learning?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	

Comments:

The assessment plan indicates, "The assessment team, composed of selected members of the Entrepreneurship Faculty and led by the Entrepreneurship Department Chair, must schedule meetings with graduating Entrepreneurship Majors. The group must provide a review of selected objectives and provide feedback to faculty members regarding what is being accomplished and other items that have not yet been accomplished. This will provide the necessary 'loop closing' to assure that learning has occurred. With the lack of an assessment report, no closing the loop activities are reported." While this process seems conducive to assessment planning, without an assessment results report, it is impossible to determine whether the department is completing this important step.

SUMMARY

	Strengths		Areas jor improvement	
X_Student Assessment Assessment Assessment Assessment Direct and Results are Results are	fic plan for assessment is in learning goals are well-artinated methods are clearly described methods are appropriated in methods are well-implered indirect methods are implered indirect methods are implered to closing the loop. making is tied to evidence	culatedStude cribedAsse tely selectedXAsse mentedXAsXNoXRes	pecific plan for assessment is in place. ent learning goals are not well-articulated. ssment methods are not clearly described. ssment methods are not appropriately selected. sessment methods are not well-implemented. single type of assessment methods predominates. results are reported. sults are not clearly tied to closing the loop. ision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)	
OVERALL S	SUMMARY AND REC	OMMENDATIONS:		
the department weaknesses, an report and resu making ("closis MATERIALS Annual a X Assess X Previo	to include a more thoroug d to include at least one in alts so as demonstrate the f ng the loop activities").	h discussion of how assessments direct assessment activity. We a	oped assessment plan. The committee does encoura is are evaluated to determine strengths and Iso encourage the timely posting of the assessment Its and process for documentation and decision-	
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Shari Nelson Student Success Center 777-0562 shari.nelson@und.edu	James Casler JDO 777-3462 casler@aero.und.edu	
Section 1:Y	Section 2: Q	Section 3:N Section	4: N	
Coding Key:				
Y			d the kind of program(s) reviewed and recognizing inds of data to be collected and analyzed in other	
Q	•	or progress is apparent; however	, evidence is lacking that this is completely and	
N	= no, this is not done at al	l, or it is not done in relationship		
N	N = no, it is unclear whether it was done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning			

UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in __2013-14____ (Academic year)

<u>UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS</u>

DEPART	MENTEntrepreneurship		DATE	May 5, 2015
PROGRA	M(S) COVERED IN REVIEWC	ertificate in 1	Entreprene	urship
COMMIT	TEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEWShari	i Nelson & J	James Casler
1. STUDEN	NT LEARNING GOALS			
•	Were any goals referenced? If so, were goals well articulated? Do goals address student learning?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:				
There is not	an assessment plan posted for the certificate pro	gram.		
(shown in al	o the program goals, please also consider UND's ignment within parentheses) and identify which gommunication – written or oral ("able to write a Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluative by "demonstrate understanding of diversi Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelon Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for regarding program goals and alignment with instance of the program goals are program goals and alignment with instance of the program goals are program goals and alignment with instance of the program goals are progr	goals are simil and speak in value intellectually be intellectually ("apply empiricate for effecty and use that g learning")	ar to program arious settings curious"; ana y creative"; edical dataana ctive, efficient cunderstandin	n goals. s with a sense of purpose/audience") alyze, synthesize, evaluate) xplore, discover, engage) alyze graphical information") t, and ethical use") ng") the world")
	pecific assessment methods referenced?	VEC	NO V	QUALIFIED Y/N
•	If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals?			QUALIFIED Y/N
•	Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS			
Were any assessment results reported?	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N
• If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how 	1125	110	QUALIFIED I/N
they indicate need for improvement?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 Were the results tied to goals for student learning? 	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:			
There are no results posted for the certificate program.			
1 Communication – written or oral ("able to write a 2 Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be 3 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be 4 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning 6 Information literacy ("be able to access and evalue 6 Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity 7 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong 8 Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for Comments regarding results and the application of results to 4. CLOSING THE LOOP	e intellectuall be intellectual ("apply empi natefor effe ty and use that g learning") r their commu	y curious"; an illy creative"; or ical dataan ective, efficien at understandin unities and for	palyze, synthesize, evaluate) explore, discover, engage) palyze graphical information") tt, and ethical use") ng") the world")
Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?	YES	NO X	_ QUALIFIED Y/N
• If so, do curricular or other improvements/	125	1\01	_ QOTENTED IVIV
changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:			
SUMMARY Strengths		Areas	for Improvement
-	\$ 7 \ 1	-	_
A specific plan for assessment is in place Student learning goals are well-articulated.			for assessment is in place. oals are not well-articulated.
Assessment methods are clearly described.	Asses	ssment method	ds are not clearly described.
Assessment methods are appropriately selected.			ds are not appropriately selected.
Assessment methods are well-implementedDirect and indirect methods are implemented.			ds are not well-implemented. sessment methods predominates.
Results are reported.		results are rep	
Results are tied to closing the loop.	X Res	sults are not cl	early tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)	(Deci	ision-making i	s not directly tied to evidence.)

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Due to the longevity of the certificate program, it is essential that the department posts an assessment plan and assessment results.

Annual Assess X Previ	S REVIEWED I assessment report ment plan (as posted) ous assessment review please describe)			
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Shari Nelson Student Success Center 777-0562 shari.nelson@und.edu	James Casler JDO 777-3462 casler@aero.und.edu	
Section 1:	N Section 2:N	Section 3:N Section 4	:N	
Coding Key:				
Y			the kind of program(s) reviewed and recognizing nds of data to be collected and analyzed in other	
Q	<i>y</i> /	n or progress is apparent; however,	evidence is lacking that this is completely and	
N		all, or it is not done in relationship	to student learning	
N	= no, it is unclear whether it was done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning			

Revised Sept 24, 2014