UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2013-2014 (Academic year)

GRADUATE PROGRAMS

DEPARTMENTMaster of Business Administrat	tion	DATE	March 10, 2015
PROGRAM(S) COVERED IN REVIEWM	Iaster of Bus	iness Admi	nistration (MBA)
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REV	IEW_ Assess	sment Com	mittee Group Review
1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS			
Were any goals referenced?If so, were goals well-articulated?Do goals address student learning?	YES_X_ YES_X_ YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments: The Master of Business Administration Assurance of Learnin or three specific objectives. The goals are quite clear, concr			e student learning goals, each with two
2. ASSESSMENT METHODS			
 Were any specific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual 	YES_X_	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
goals?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach? 	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments: A variety of specific assessment methods were referenced in appear to be aligned (see goal assessment schedule and the for example, a survey of students is employed as an indirect work products using rubrics.	assessment rep	ort). Direct d	and indirect methods are referenced.
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS Were any assessment results reported?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? 	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? Were the results tied to goals for student 	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
learning?	YES_X	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

The 2014 Assessment Report included results for specific measures of student learning goals and objectives. The reported results were directly tied to student learning. For example, the percentage of MBA candidates by level of proficiency in specific competencies was reported. MBA candidates' level of proficiency for a specific student learning goal (Defending Reasoned Solutions) was also reported. The University Assessment Committee specifically commented on the fact that the assessment results were reported out by student population (on-campus/domestic; online/domestic; on-campus/international; online/international), which is extremely useful information for the program faculty and administrators. Well done!

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported?	VES Y	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N	
If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?	YES	NO		
Comments: As mentioned in the 2014 assessment report, the program's on a regular basis to determine what changes will be made task force, is talking about making changes to the MBA pro	based on assess	sment result	s. Thus, we see that the program,	, via the
SUMMARY Strengths		Areas	for Improvement	
X A specific plan for assessment is in placeX Student learning goals are well-articulatedX Assessment methods are clearly describedX Assessment methods are appropriately selectedX Assessment methods are well-implementedX Direct and indirect methods are implementedX Results are reported Results are tied to closing the loop (Decision-making is tied to evidence.)	 No specific plan for assessment is in place. Student learning goals are not well-articulated. Assessment methods are not clearly described. Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. Assessment methods are not well-implemented. A single type of assessment methods predominates. No results are reported. Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.) 			
OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDAT Overall, the MBA program has provided an exemplary assewell-articulated and measurable. Assessment methods are valed and results are reported, and there is a plan in place for results.	essment plan and varied and appro	opriately al	igned with the stated learning god	als.
MATERIALS REVIEWED				
X Annual assessment report				
Annual reportX_ Assessment plan (as posted)				
X_ Previous assessment review Other (please describe)				

Coding Key:

- Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well (bearing in mind the kind of program(s) reviewed and recognizing that assessment is a cyclical process, i.e., with additional kinds of data to be collected in other years)
- Q = qualified yes as action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done
- N = no, it is unclear whether it was done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning