

# UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

## Feedback to Non-Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2005-2006 Annual Reports

DEPARTMENT \_\_\_\_\_ Women's Center \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_ FY 2006 \_\_\_\_\_

COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW \_\_\_Lana Rakow and Joan Hawthorne\_\_\_

### 1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS

- |                                       |          |        |                    |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|--------------------|
| • Were any goals referenced?          | YES__x__ | NO____ | QUALIFIED Y/N ____ |
| • If so, were goals well articulated? | YES__x__ | NO____ | QUALIFIED Y/N ____ |
| • Do goals address student learning?  | YES__x__ | NO____ | QUALIFIED Y/N ____ |

In addition to the Departmental goals, please also consider UND's Institutional and General Education goals for student learning (shown in alignment within parentheses). For each goal, use a Y (yes), N (no), or ? (qualified y/n or uncertain) to indicate whether this department has a similar or related goal.

- \_\_\_n\_\_ 1 Communication ("communicate effectively, both orally and in writing")
- \_\_\_n\_\_ 2 Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and creatively" and "be intellectually curious and creative")
- \_\_\_?\_\_ 3 Informed choices ("make informed choices")
- \_\_\_n\_\_ 4 Understanding across disciplines ("understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences" and "acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas")
- \_\_\_n\_\_ 5 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning")
- \_\_\_n\_\_ 6 Cross-cultural appreciation ("develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own")
- \_\_\_n\_\_ 7 Service/citizenship ("commit themselves to...the service of others," and "share responsibility both for their communities and for the world")

**Comments regarding Departmental goals and alignment of Departmental Goals with Institutional and General Education Goals:** Program goals relate to interpersonal and intrapersonal competence, self esteem, social justice. There seems to be potential to align and incorporate some institutional and general education goals with this program's goals.

**Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:**

### 2. ASSESSMENT METHODS

- Were any specific assessment methods referenced? YES\_\_x\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_\_\_\_
- If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? YES\_\_x\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_\_\_\_
  - Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_ QUALIFIED Y/N \_\_x\_\_

**Comments:** The assessment methods were primarily self-reports by students, yet students' sense of themselves and others is critical to the goals addressed and therefore directly relevant.

**Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:**

### 3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Were any assessment results reported? YES x NO      QUALIFIED Y/N     

- If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES x NO      QUALIFIED Y/N
- If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES      NO x QUALIFIED Y/N
- Were the results tied to goals for student learning? YES x NO      QUALIFIED Y/N

In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to Institutional and General Education goals. A list of the latter goals is included below. Please indicate with a Y, N, or ? whether results reported are applicable to Institutional or General Education goal achievement. For items with a Y or a ?, please describe findings in the appropriate section below.

    n      1 Communication (“communicate effectively, both orally and in writing”)  
    n      2 Critical/creative thinking (“think critically and creatively” and “be intellectually curious and creative”)  
    ?      3 Informed choices (“make informed choices”)  
    n      4 Understanding across disciplines (“understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences” and “acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas”)  
    n      5 Lifelong learning (“commit themselves to lifelong learning”)  
    n      6 Cross-cultural appreciation (“develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own”)  
    n      7 Service/citizenship (“commit themselves to...the service of others,” and “share responsibility both for their communities and for the world”)

***Comments regarding results and the application of results to Departmental, Institutional and General Education Goals:***  
Connections between program goals and institutional and general education goals have potential. Making informed choices is one which the program has not identified as a goal but seems to strive for.

**Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:**

### 4. CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? YES      NO      QUALIFIED Y/N x

- If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning? YES      NO x QUALIFIED Y/N

***Comments:*** The program seems to be using the data to make decisions concerning continuation of programming but it isn’t clear that changes in the programming will result from the data gathered.

**Undergraduate and or Graduate, if appropriate:**

## SUMMARY

### *Strengths*

- ☐ A specific plan for assessment is in place.
- ☒ Student learning goals are well-articulated.
- ☒ Assessment methods are clearly described.
- ☒ Assessment methods are appropriately selected.
- ☒ Assessment methods are well-implemented.
- ☐ Direct and indirect methods are implemented.
- ☒ Results are reported.
- ☒ Results are tied to closing the loop.  
(Decision-making is tied to evidence.)

### *Areas for Improvement*

- ☐ ? No specific plan for assessment is in place.
- ☐ Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
- ☐ Assessment methods are not clearly described.
- ☐ Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
- ☐ Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
- ☒ A single type of assessment methods predominates.
- ☐ No results are reported.
- ☐ Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.  
(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)

## OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Women's Center has identified learning goals for its programs and has assessed student learning particularly well for its IMPACT Personal Safety classes, in cooperation with the Department of Psychology. Results are self-reports of feelings of self-efficacy and confidence. Direct measures in any conventional sense are not obtainable because application in a "real life" situation is neither possible nor desirable (e.g. situations of vulnerability or risk).

There is considerable potential for the goals of the Women's Center to more closely complement and be aligned with general education goals and institutional goals (including making informed choices and lifelong learning, for example). If so, the Women's Center would be making an even stronger contribution to student learning.

Reviewer(s):	Name	Lana Rakow	Joan Hawthorne
	Department	Communication	Provost's Office
	Phone Number	7-2287	7-4684
	e-mail	lanarakow@mail.und.nodak.edu	joan.hawthorne@und.nodak.edu

Section 1: ☒ Y ☐ N ☐ NA ☐ ?    Section 2: ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ NA ☐ ?    Section 3: ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ NA ☐ ?    Section 4: ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ NA ☐ ?

### Coding Key:

- Y = yes, this is done appropriately and well
- N = no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning
- NA = no information available
- ? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done