UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Non-Academic Units on Assessment Activities Reported in 2006-2007 Annual Reports

DEPARTM	IENT University Counseling Center	D	ATE3-29	-08		
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW_ Elizabeth Bjerke and Kirsten Dauphinais						
1. STUDEN	T LEARNING GOALS					
•	Were any goals referenced? If so, were goals well articulated? Do goals address student learning?	YES YES YES	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N		
Comments:						
completed. U	o Assessment plan on file for the Counseling Cen Unfortunately, the annual report sections did not in goal. Without an assessment plan to reference	list any specij	fic student led	arning goals. It did reference a		
learning (sho Education go1 C3 Ii4 U sciences, and5 L6 C7 S	the Departmental goals, please also consider University of the Department within parentheses). Use 'U' (to be also which are similar to the referenced department of the communication ("communicate effectively, both Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and creative thinking	indergraduate) intal goals. orally and in vertively" and ' w conclusions over a broad signification of the culture of the cult	or 'G' (grad writing") 'be intellectual are reached pectrum of su	duate) to identify UND/General ally curious and creative") in the natural sciences, the social abject areas")		
Comments re Goals:	egarding Departmental goals and alignment of	Departmental	Goals with I	Institutional and General Education		
No goals wer	re mentioned.					
2. ASSESSI	MENT METHODS					
	ecific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual			QUALIFIED Y/N		
	goals? Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?	YES		QUALIFIED Y/N		
Comments						

The annual report displays numerous indicators of assessment techniques. However, due the annual report template the tables are very difficult to understand (no formatting carried over). The Counseling Center uses numerous survey tools to assess student perceptions and screenings. The center also reported the results following numerous outreach events. The center also uses data from a national assessment called the ACHA-NCHA. The center seems to have used this data pretty extensively for analysis and discussion.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS Were any assessment results reported? YES_X_ NO___ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals? YES NO X QUALIFIED Y/N If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement? YES X NO____ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ Were the results tied to goals for student learning? YES____ NO_X___ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ Comments: Without an assessment plan for reference, it was impossible to see if the tools and data matched any learning goals. In addition to departmental goals, some assessment results may be applicable to Institutional and General Education goals. A list of the latter goals is included below. Use 'U' (undergraduate) or 'G' (graduate) to identify those results which are applicable to Institutional/General Education goal achievement. For these items, please describe findings in the appropriate section below. _ 1 Communication ("communicate effectively, both orally and in writing") 2 Critical/creative thinking ("think critically and creatively" and "be intellectually curious and creative") 3 Informed choices ("make informed choices") __ 4 Understanding across disciplines ("understand how conclusions are reached in the natural sciences, the social sciences, and the arts and sciences" and "acquire knowledge over a broad spectrum of subject areas") _____5 Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning") 6 Cross-cultural appreciation ("develop some familiarity with cultures other than their own") 7 Service/citizenship ("commit themselves to...the service of others," and "share responsibility both for their communities and for the world") Comments regarding results and the application of results to Departmental, Institutional and General Education Goals: **Undergraduate: Graduate:** 4. CLOSING THE LOOP

Were any actions taken on the basis of assessment results reported? YES___?___ NO____ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results YES_____ NO__?__ QUALIFIED Y/N ____ directly address goals for student learning?

Comments:

This portion of the annual report was left blank. Although it seems evident that this data is being used to improve the counseling center, they did not list out specific changes in the report.

SUMMA:	R	Y
--------	---	---

Strengths

Areas for Improvement

X_ No specific plan for assessment is in place.
X_ Student learning goals are not well-articulated.
Assessment methods are not clearly described.
Assessment methods are not appropriately selected.
Assessment methods are not well-implemented.
A single type of assessment methods predominates.
No results are reported.
X Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop.
(Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)
•

OVERALL SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Counseling Center seems to be using numerous techniques in order assure quality in the services they provide to students. However, a well defined assessment plan may make continued utilization of the tools more effective.

Reviewer(s):	Name Department	Kirsten Dauphinais Law	Elizabeth Bjerke Aviation
	Phone Number e-mail	777-6396	777-3922
		kdauphinais@law.und.edu	ebjerke@aero.und.edu
Section 1:N_	Section 2: _N	Section 3:N Section 4:	_N
Coding Key:			
Y :	yes, this is done appropriate appropria	priately and well	
N :	= no, this is not done at a	all, or it is not done in relationship t	o student learning

NA = no information available ? = action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done