UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Non-Academic Units on Assessment Activities Reported in <u>FY2011</u> Annual Reports <u>NON-ACADEMIC PROGRAMS</u>

DEPARTMENT_	Student Support Services		OATE Dec	ember 12, 2011			
COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW <u>Eric E. Johnson, Joan Ilene Hawthorne</u>							
1. STUDENT LEA	RNING GOALS						
• If so, were	pals referenced? goals well articulated? dress student learning?	YES_ X _ YES YES	NO_ X _	QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N _ X			
Comments:							
the TRIO progra by the Vice-Pres	appear to be a posted assessmer within that office is included sident for Student Services) of and should be included within	l in the list student ser	(provided vices pro	to the Assessment Committee grams which have goals for			
students comple knowledge over North Dakota ex	nual report, Student Support S ete their general education cou a broad spectrum of subject a perience, graduates will exhib or outside their major field(s)	rses, they s reas. [2] As it a highly o	should be a result o	able to acquire of their total University of			
Moreover, the se educational acti	n assessment of the particular	nd Student Γhus, these	Support S goals see	Services to embrace em not well suited to being the			
in alignment within j1 Commur2 Thinking3 Thinking4 Thinking5 Informat6 Diversity7 Lifelong	m goals, please also consider UND's Insparentheses). Identify UND/Essential Sparentheses). Identify UND/Essential Sparentheses. Identify UND/Essential Sparenth	tudies goals whand speak in vertice intellectually be intellectually ("apply empiruatefor effecty and use that gelearning")	nich are simi arious setting v curious"; ar y creative"; o ical dataar ctive, efficier t understandi	lar to the referenced program goals. gs with a sense of purpose/audience") nalyze, synthesize, evaluate) explore, discover, engage) nalyze graphical information") nt, and ethical use") ng")			
	g departmental goals and alignment of bout alignment between Student S						
2. ASSESSMENT	METHODS						
• If so, were	sessment methods referenced? specifically chosen assessment s appropriately aligned with individual			QUALIFIED Y/N _X_ QUALIFIED Y/N _X_			
Were both of method	lirect and indirect assessment ls used as components of a "multiple es" approach?			QUALIFIED Y/N			

Comments:

According to the Student Support Services FY2011 annual report: "Student Support Services does not conduct an assessment of student learning[.]"

However, the annual report also states: "Student Support Services measures this assessment of student learning as follows: 55% of students persist toward graduation (assessment of graduation is conducted by collection, review, and analysis of students graduating by entering cohort group in six years)."

It is unclear what is meant by "measur[ing] this assessment," but the report appears be using persistence toward graduation as the means of gauging programmatic success. However, the persistence-to-graduation measure would seem to be only tentatively connected with the student learning goals.

Additionally, the annual report states, "Both of these classes are approved for credit by the College of Arts and Sciences, and assessment of student learning in these courses is conducted in accordance with the College of Arts and Sciences assessment procedures." In this passage, it is unclear what "these" classes are.

Separately, the annual report states that an end-of-year survey of students is conducted as part of an effort to assess the program as a whole. There is, however, nothing indicating that this end-of-year survey is connected in connection with an assessment of student learning, per se.

It is difficult to understand the program context with this limited information, but it might be worth exploring whether Student Support Services does indeed have learning as an important program outcome and should, therefore, be engaged in assessment.

3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Were any assessment results reported?	YES	NO_ X _	QUALIFIED Y/N
 If so, were the results clear in terms of how 			
they specifically affirm achievement of goals?	YES	NO_ X _	QUALIFIED Y/N
• If so, were the results clear in terms of how			
they indicate need for improvement?	YES	NO_ X _	QUALIFIED Y/N
 Were the results tied to goals for student 			
learning?	YES	NO_ X _	QUALIFIED Y/N

Comments:

The portion of the annual report relevant to assessment results contained an "N/A" notation.

In addition to program goals, some assessment results may be applicable to institutional and Essential Studies goals. Please identify those results which are applicable to institutional/Essential Studies goal achievement. For indicated items, please describe findings below.

describe fin	ndings below
1	Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience")
2	Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate)
3	Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage)
4	Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical dataanalyze graphical information")
5	Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluatefor effective, efficient, and ethical use")
6	Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding")
7	Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning")
8	Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world")

Comments regarding results and the application of results to programmatic, institutional and Essential Studies goals:

4. CLOSING	THE LOOP							
• If so,	d? do curricular or hanges arising fi	basis of assessment other improvements/ rom assessment results oals for student learning?			_		QUALIFIED Y/N	
Comments:	, ,	Ç						
The portion	of the annu	al report relevant to clo	sing	the loc	р соі	ntai	ned an "N/A" notation.	
determine p	oossible mod	lifications to the progra	ım, b	ut there	e is n	o in	udent survey is used to dication that this survey is p-closing activity is disclosed.	
SUMMARY	Strengths				Are	eas f	or Improvement	
Student leAssessmeAssessmeDirect andResults arResults ar(Decision	c plan for assess carning goals are ent methods are a ent methods are a d indirect method re reported. re tied to closing n-making is tied		articulated. Assessment methods are not clearly described. Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. Assessment methods are not appropriately selected. Assessment methods are not well-implemented. Assessment methods are not well-implemented. X A single type of assessment methods predominates. X No results are reported. Results are not clearly tied to closing the loop. (Decision-making is not directly tied to evidence.)					
Student Su	pport Servic		dend	e of an	asse	essr	nent program that would	
funding. It r	may be that t	Support Services is sub he external evaluation of however, such a means	com	prises a	a mea	ns	to measure contribution to	
MATERIALS	REVIEWED							
	report ices (cited in and please describe)	nual report)	_ <u>x</u>		_		as posted) ant review	
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Eric E. Johnson Law 701-777-2264 ejohnson@law.und.ed	A 7	oan Ha cadem 01-777- oan.haw	ic Aff 4684	airs		
Section 1: 7	Section 2:	? Section 3: N	Secti	on 4:	 J			

Coding Key:

= yes, this is done appropriately and well

= no, this is not done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student learning

NA = no information available

?	= action or progress is app	parent; however, evidence	is lacking that this is co	ompletely and approp	riately done