UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

$\begin{tabular}{ll} Feedback to Non-Academic Units on Assessment Activities Reported in 2014 (Academic year) \\ \underline{NON-ACADEMIC\ PROGRAMS} \\ \end{tabular}$

DATE: November 20, 2014

DEPARTMENT: Multicultural Student Services

PROGRA	M(S) COVERED IN REVIEW: N/A			
COMMIT	TEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVI	EW: Bradl	ey Myers, I	Devon Hansen
1. STUDE	NT LEARNING GOALS			
•	Were any goals referenced? If so, were goals well-articulated? Do goals address student learning?	YES_X YES YES	NO NO NO	QUALIFIED Y/N <u>X</u>
annual repo but the asse environmen indirect. Th community critically ab should be n none of the	e posted Assessment Plan is dated 2012-2013 and orts since that year indicate that MSS is submittingssment methods have been different in each of the of the University. While the MSS Goals will center closest direct impact on students learning would where multiple perspectives are openly shared and wo to the out social justice issues in the classroom and wo noted that one of the services offered by MSS is the goals identified by MSS relate to the tutoring process a primary focus in the 2012 Annual Report, but	ng a new ass. he years. The rtainly impac ld come from nd can thrive orkplace, prov utoring. Whil ogram. Tuto	essment plan. MSS Goals get student leant Goal 2, whice toward guide widing everyor e this service ring and the t	The MSS Goals remain the same, generally concern the learning rning, that impact would at best be ch discusses creating an "engaging ing students, faculty and staff to think ne with tools to think inclusively." It is directly related to student learning, tracking of academic achievement by
in alignmen12345X67	to program goals, please also consider UND's inst t within parentheses). Identify UND/Essential Stt Communication – written or oral ("able to write a Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "b Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (Information literacy ("be able to access and evalu Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversit Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for	ndies goals w nd speak in v intellectually e intellectual "apply empiratefor effer y and use that g learning")	hich are simil various setting y curious"; an ly creative"; e rical dataan ctive, efficien t understandin	dar to the referenced program goals. It is with a sense of purpose/audience") It is with a sense of purpose/audience")
Comments	regarding program goals and alignment with ins	titutional and	d Essential St	tudies goals:
majority stu Goals 3 & 5	cch of the MSS Goals is directed at improving the adents. These Goals will create a better learning of specifically target increasing the success, repressibly development opportunities.	environment	for all studer	nts for the understanding of diversity.
2. ASSESS	SMENT METHODS			
Were any sp	Decific assessment methods referenced? If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods appropriately aligned with individual goals? Were both direct and indirect assessment methods used as components of a "multiple measures" approach?	YES X YES X YES X		QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N QUALIFIED Y/N

1	C_0	m	m	o	n	t c	
ı		m	m	e.	TI.		

_Results are reported.

MSS collects maintaining department data, Cultural Diversity Tuition Waiver data (which consists of data derived from a survey of students), and conducts surveys at events and at year end. Most, if not all, of the assessment methods are used to assess MSS operations and programs rather than student learning. The methods provide feedback to MSS on how its programs are received by the students it services, but are not designed to yield results related to student learning.

3. ASSESS	MENT RESULTS			
Were any as	sessment results reported? If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?		NO NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N_X QUALIFIED Y/N
•	If so, were the results clear in terms of how	1 E3	NO <u>A</u>	QUALIFIED 1/N
	they indicate need for improvement?	YES	NO_X_	QUALIFIED Y/N
•	 Were the results tied to goals for student learning? 		NO <u>X</u>	QUALIFIED Y/N
Comments:				
The results	reported, although related to MSS' goals, did no	t relate to s	tudent learning	<i>3</i> .
identify thos describe find 1 0 2 7 3 7 4 7 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 5	o program goals, some assessment results may be e results which are applicable to institutional/Essellings below Communication – written or oral ("able to write a Fhinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be Fhinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be Fhinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluative programment ("commit themselves to lifelong bearing ("commit themselves to lifelong Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for the programment of the achievement of esselling the service of the second of the	ential Studiond speak in intellectual e intellectual "apply empatefor eff y and use the glearning") their common programm	various setting ly curious"; and lly creative"; e irical dataand ective, efficient at understanding unities and for matic, institution	ment. For indicated items, please s with a sense of purpose/audience") alyze, synthesize, evaluate) explore, discover, engage) alyze graphical information") t, and ethical use") ng") the world")
Were any acresults repor	tions taken on the basis of assessment ted?	YES <u>X</u>	NO	QUALIFIED Y/N
•	If so, do curricular or other improvements/ changes arising from assessment results			
	directly address goals for student learning?	YES	NO <u>X</u> _	QUALIFIED Y/N
SUMMAN	Strengths		Areas f	for Improvement
Student Assessi Assessi Assessi	fic plan for assessment is in place. learning goals are well-articulated. ment methods are clearly described. ment methods are appropriately selected. ment methods are well-implemented. and indirect methods are implemented.	X_Stude Asse Asse	ent learning goa ssment method ssment method ssment method	r assessment is in place. als are not well-articulated. Is are not clearly described. Is are not appropriately selected. Is are not well-implemented. Ressment methods predominates.

_ No results are reported.

	are tied to closing the loop on-making is tied to evide				ot clearly tied to closing the loop king is not directly tied to evide	
OVERALL	SUMMARY AND RI	ECOMMENDAT	IONS:			
in the plan we submitting a r particular yea	ere used in 2012, but have new plan as part of the ann	not been used since nual report indicates MSS should adopt a	e. The fact sthat MSS	that MSS has believes that	of goals. The assessment activities indicated in 2013 and 2014 that the data they decide to collect it des, in addition to its goals, a sy	nt it was n any
has moved from the newly appoint assume more responsibility	om the Division of Studented Associate Vice Presider responsibility for direct in	t Affairs to the Divi ent of Diversity and avolvement in helpin	ision of A I Inclusion ng student	cademic Affai , Sandra Mitc s achieve lear	s mission. However, starting thi irs and has come under the leaderhell. It remains to be seen if MS ming goals. To the extent MSS or that program and conduct ass	ership of the SS will will retain
	S REVIEWED					
X Annual X Assession Previous	l assessment report l report ment plan (as posted) us assessment review please describe), Annual l	Reports for 2012 &	2013			
Reviewer(s):	Name Department Phone Number e-mail	Bradley Myer Law School 7-2228 myers@law.u		Devon Ha Geography 7-4587 devon.han		
Section 1:	Q Section 2: (Section 3:	N	Section 4:	<u>N</u>	-
Coding Key: Y Q N	that assessment is a cycle qualified yes as action appropriately done	n or progress is app	ith additio arent; how	nal kinds of d vever, evidence	d of program(s) reviewed and relata to be collected in other year is lacking that this is complete ationship to student learning	s)

Revised Sept 24, 2014