## UNIVERSITY ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Feedback to Academic Departments on Assessment Activities Reported in 2014-15 **NON-ACADEMIC PROGRAMS** DEPARTMENT TRIO DATE November 17, 2015 PROGRAM(S) COVERED IN REVIEW McNair COMMITTEE MEMBER(S) CONDUCTING REVIEW Joan Hawthorne, Deb Hanson 1. STUDENT LEARNING GOALS Were any goals referenced? Qualified Y/N X Qualified Y/N If so, were goals well-articulated? Qualified Y/N Do goals address student learning? Comments: You have identified two goals for student learning, along with specific components of interest that belong to each. This is a good start on assessment work that we hope will prove meaningful for the program. We note that some of the language you use (e.g., "gain knowledge of") might be made more concrete (e.g., "Students will be able to describe the process of gaining admission to an appropriate graduate program," or "students will be able to write a personal statement that appropriately addresses grad school admissions requirements"). The advantage of the more specific language is that a useful method of assessment becomes immediately obvious -i.e., if they should be able to write that kind of personal statement, then you'd find out whether they indeed can do that by reading samples of personal statement drafts written by students in your program. In addition to program goals, please also consider UND's institutional and Essential Studies goals for student learning (shown in alignment within parentheses). Identify UND/Essential Studies goals which are similar to the referenced program goals. 1. Communication – written or oral ("able to write and speak in various settings with a sense of purpose/audience")

Comments regarding program goals and alignment with institutional and Essential Studies goals:

7. Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong learning")

The Institutional and Essential Studies goals don't directly align with the mission and purpose of the McNair program and thus are not explicitly addressed in their assessment plan and efforts.

5. Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluate...for effective, efficient, and ethical use")

Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for their communities and for the world")

6. Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity and use that understanding...")

Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be intellectually curious"; analyze, synthesize, evaluate)
 Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be intellectually creative"; explore, discover, engage)
 Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning ("apply empirical data...analyze graphical information")

## 2. ASSESSMENT METHODS

Were any specific assessment methods referenced?	X	Yes	No	Qualified Y/N
• If so, were specifically chosen assessment methods	X	Yes	No	Qualified Y/N
appropriately aligned with individual goals?		-		

• Were direct assessment methods used, when appropriate?		Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N	X	N/A
• Were indirect assessment methods used, when appropriate?	X	Yes	N	No	Qualified Y/N		N/A
Comments: Survey data makes perfect sense for a program of your sort, and information regarding the learning outcomes you have identified products like the CV and personal statement – if there's an opport them to see whether students are able to demonstrate their under and how their own experiences can be represented in them. If so which would complement the indirect assessment you are already	d. Woortuni	e wonde ty to ac ling of v t would	ered – tually what t l be a	given yo collect su hose shou	ur mention of watch documents and look like and	ork and re l incl	eview ude,
3. ASSESSMENT RESULTS							
Were any assessment results reported?	X	Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N		
<ul> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they specifically affirm achievement of goals?</li> </ul>	X	Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N		
<ul> <li>If so, were the results clear in terms of how they indicate need for improvement?</li> </ul>	X	Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N		
• Were the results tied to goals of student learning? <i>Comments:</i>	X	Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N		
that the information you're collecting is directly aligned with the In addition to program goals, some assessment results may be applicable identify those results which are applicable to institutional/Essential Strainings below.  1. Communication – written or oral ("able to write an 2. Thinking and reasoning – critical thinking (or "be 3. Thinking and reasoning – creative thinking (or "be 4. Thinking and reasoning – quantitative reasoning (" 5. Information literacy ("be able to access and evaluated 6. Diversity ("demonstrate understanding of diversity 7. Lifelong learning ("commit themselves to lifelong 8. Service/citizenship ("share responsibility both for the Comments regarding results and the application of results to program. Not applicable.	d spead the learning their control of the learning the l	institution goal ach k in vari etually e ectually empirica r effectiv se that u ng")	onal an ievemo ious se urious creativ al data ve, effi inderst	d Essentia ent. For in ttings with "; analyze, e"; exploreanalyze icient, and anding"	1 Studies goals. F dicated items, ple a sense of purpo synthesize, evalue, discover, engag graphical informa ethical use")	ease d se/au uate) ge) ation"	lescribe dience")
4. CLOSING THE LOOP							
Were any actions taken?	X	Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N		
<ul> <li>If so, were they based on assessment results?</li> </ul>		Yes	X	No	Qualified Y/N		
<ul> <li>If so, do curricular or other improvements/changes arising from assessment results directly address goals for student learning?</li> </ul>		Yes	1	No	Qualified Y/N		
Comments:							

You reported on your learning outcomes and your assessments of those outcomes. It appears that the loop-closing you are carrying out is related to additional comments received from your students (perhaps in the same surveys as you're using for assessment – but not directly related to your goals for student learning). Those changes are clearly intended to promote learning, but they don't seem to be directly connected to your assessments of your learning goals.

SUMMA	SUMMARY					
	Strengt	hs	Areas	s for Improvement		
Stu Ass Ass Ass Dir wh X Res	sessment methods we	re well-articulated. e clearly described. e appropriately selected. ell-implemented. nods are implemented,	Assessment methods are A single type of assessmenthods are equally app No results are reported. Results are not clearly ti	re not well-articulated. e not clearly described. e not appropriately selected. e not well-implemented. nent methods predominates although other propriate.		
OVERAI	LL SUMMARY AN	D RECOMMENDATIONS	S:			
Assessment – and we reach out for any h	ent Committee last e're pleased to see to to both the Studen	reviewed your work. Thi he results of that in your n it Affairs Assessment Con as you continue your work ort sted)	s is obviously an area whe new plan and most recent a nmittee and Joan Hawthorn	time when members of the University re you've been doing some real work ssessment report. Don't hesitate to ne, as UND's director of assessment,		
Reviewers		Joan Hawthorne	Deb Hanson			
	Department	Academic Affairs	Occupational Therapy	<u> </u>		
	Phone Number	7-4684	7-2218			
	e-mail	Joan.hawthorne@und. edu	Debra.hanson@und.ed u			
***	********	*********	********	******		
Section 1:	Q Section	on 2: Y Section 3	: <u>Y</u> Section 4:	_Q		
Coding K	ev:					
_	Y = yes, this is dor		earing in mind the kind of pr			
	reviewed and re	ecognizing that assessment is	s a cyclical process, i.e., with a			
	kinds of data to	be collected in other years)				

- Q = qualified yes as action or progress is apparent; however, evidence is lacking that this is completely and appropriately done
   N= no, it is unclear whether it was done at all, or it is not done in relationship to student
- learning