

Minutes of the University Senate Meeting
November 6, 2014

1.

The November meeting of the University Senate was held at 4:05 p.m. on Thursday, November 6, 2014 in Room 113, Education. Chair Melissa Gjellstad presided.

2.

The following members of the Senate were present:

Anderson, Ernest	Henderson, Pam	Popehn, Montana
Anderson, Julie	Hill, Robert	Quinn, Andrew
Anderson, Suzanne	Jendrysik, Mark	Rand, Kathryn
Beattie, Robert	Jeno, Sue	Reesor, Lori
Bradley, April	Johnson, Chase	Reissig, Brad
Bridewell, John	Jones, Nicholas	Routon, Claudia
Campbell, Katherine	Kenville, Kim	Rozelle-Stone, Rebecca
Cavalli, Matthew	Kurtz, Sharley	Schwartz, Rhonda
Cerkoney, Marissa	Liang, Lewis	Semke, William
Christopherson, Anne	Lim, Howe	Sheridan, William
Cowden, Kimberly	Martin, Will	Smart, Kathy
DiLorenzo, Thomas	McHenry, Laurie	Stofferahn, Curt
Drewes, Mary	Medina, Shannon	Sum, Paul
Ernst, Julia	Mikulak, Marcia	Tanaka, Tomohiro
Fevig, Ron	Milavetz, Barry	Walker, Anne
Franklin, Tanner	Mosher, Sarah	Weaver-Hightower, Rebecca
Gedafa, Daba	Munski, Doug	Weber, Bret
Gjellstad, Melissa	Onchwari, Grace	Zerr, Jessica
Halgren, Cara	Poochigian, Donald	Zerr, Ryan

3.

The following members of the Senate were absent:

Badahdah, Abdallah	Harsell, Dana	Schuster, Shane
Baker, Mary	Hunter, Cheryl	Smith, Bruce
Brekke, Alice	Johnson, Brett	Storrs, Debbie
Brown, Ryan	Kelley, Robert	Swisher, Wayne
Burgess, Gaye	Lawrence, David	Walton, Susan
DeLong, Loretta	Marasinghe, Kanishka	Williams, Margaret
El-Rewini, Hesham	Mitzel, John	Wood, Robert
Enright, Jeremiah	Murphy, Eric	Wynne, Joshua
Frank, Daniel	Petros, Tom	Young, Tim
Geiger, Jonathan	Roux, Gayle	
Grant, Vincent	Schroeder, Janie	

4.

The following announcements were made:

- a. A Budget Redesign update was given by Mr. DiLorenzo. He shared that Huron has been working on modeling this fall. Over the course of the next few weeks, there will be presentations to the Executive Committee,

- Steering Committee, Deans, and the Senate Budget Committee. He said there will be more information in the next few weeks.
- b. Donna Smith, Director of EEO/Affirmative Action and the Title IX coordinator for UND, gave a presentation on Title IX. Ms. Smith explained that Title IX protects faculty, students and staff from sexual violence and sexual harassment or assault. Under Title IX, certain people are required to report any known incidents. This includes most staff and all faculty including adjuncts and graduate students who have interaction with students. If an incident is reported, the faculty or staff member must report it to Ms. Smith or a deputy Title IX coordinator. More information on Title IX can be found at: <http://und.edu/affirmative-action/title-ix/index.cfm>
Ms. Smith stated that online training on this law will be required. She emphasized that if anything is disclosed to the faculty or staff member, it must be reported. She described resources available and other ways to assist victims. An investigation is not required if the victim does not want one. Ms. Smith then answered questions.
 - c. Darrin King, NDUS Deputy CIO Core Technology Services, gave a high-level security overview. He reported on a security breach that involved identity mitigation. As a result of the breach, NDUS looked deeply at security including both long- and short-term items. He listed the three levels in security: devices, systems and people. It was found there is not enough intrusion detection across the system. This security issue has been addressed statewide, quadrant by quadrant and NDUS is now on the last quadrant. Additionally, passwords were not being changed. Dr. Lisa Feldner, NDUS CIO, wanted password resets to be done earlier than later. This past spring, the first step was to request password changes; presently, there is a deadline for passwords to be changed. Mr. King indicated that all will receive warnings and prompts to change passwords each 90 days. He then answered questions.
 - d. Steve Light updated the Senate on the Student Engagement Working Group. The group is looking at all engagement opportunities for students. It is early since the group has just started its work. He will report back on the group progress as well as the high impact practices on which they will focus.
 - e. Mr. Zerr reported on the Promotion and Tenure and Evaluation group that is being formed. It is not yet fully formed, but he will have more information at the next Senate meeting. He wanted all to know that it is getting established, which is in response to the SEC and Senate work from past years. Mr. Zerr then answered questions.
 - f. Ms. Jenó, a member of the taskforce for the procedure on the nickname and logo change, described the process for gathering participants and said three meetings are planned for November 5, 6 and 7. She also indicated that a survey will be sent out. She is hoping for a better response rate on this survey than the last one. Ms. Jenó indicated that Senate has always had involvement/concern regarding the nickname/logo. She urged senators to complete the survey.
 - g. Ms. Gjellstad gave several updates:
SOAR: The Coordinating Committee and subcommittees are working diligently. They are addressing the lists and detailing methodology and procedures; next, they will look at survey questions. She indicated that they are making good progress.
Council of College Faculties: They are currently working with the Chancellor on motor pool issues.
University Council: A meeting has been scheduled for Tuesday, November 18 at 3:30 p.m. The meeting format will be changed to include updates

from the three Senates and a question and answer period for the Vice Presidents and President.

Legislative Affairs Committee: The Committee is developing fact sheets regarding higher education for the legislative session to better tell our story.

Ad Hoc Student Evaluation of Teaching Committee (USAT): The Committee's first finding is that the current document is flawed. There will be more information coming. Mr. Quinn, a committee member, reported that there have been no decisions made yet, but stated that online courses are part of what is being considered.

Senate group-work activity: results from the activity at the last meeting were shared via a handout that illustrated the topics that are being talked about and the topics that are not being talked about. A second group-work activity was introduced to identify top issues/priorities that the senators believe the University Senate should engage in more deeply in the next months. The senators concluded the activity by submitting their suggestions.

Senator Outreach: The senators were thanked for their outreach efforts and asked if they felt they were successful. Mr. Weber shared that visits were made to the departments in the College of Nursing and Professional Disciplines. The departments were surprised and appreciated the visit. He reported that many were not knowledgeable about University Senate. He indicated that the visits will continue. Mr. Hill reported on a recent faculty gathering about research across colleges and departments. It encouraged collaboration and interaction and he stated it was an important moment for UND.

Shared Governance: an email and survey will be sent soon as the next step in the faculty senate conversation process. More information will be provided at the next Senate meeting. All were encouraged to respond to the survey.

5.

Ms. Gjellstad called attention to the minutes of the October 2, 2014 meeting. There was a motion of approval by Mr. Jendrysik. Mr. Munski seconded and the minutes were approved as distributed.

6.

The question period was opened at 5:00 p.m.

- a. Ms. Weaver-Hightower asked, as an at-large senator, who she should reach out to as her constituents. Ms. Gjellstad indicated that there are many possibilities and shared various ideas. Ms. Weaver-Hightower indicated she will contact the other at-large senators for collaboration.
- b. Mr. DiLorenzo reported that the State Board of Higher Education will launch a search for a new chancellor with the goal of having that person in place by May.
- c. Mr. Sheridan asked if University Senate is looking at the Strategic Plan in the future as UND moves more into the research role. He stated that he sees teaching support from the Office of Instructional Development, but that he does not see much support for research and scholarship. He also stated that Senate does not seem to engage in conversations regarding becoming a research institution. Ms. Gjellstad shared that the promotion and tenure discussion has that topic at the forefront. Mr. DiLorenzo indicated that it is an important question for

the Senate. He thinks the Senate might want to engage in the discussion over the coming weeks and months. He then shared some initiatives that have occurred and are occurring regarding research. Mr. Sheridan indicated he believed the President's decision to move research under the Provost and the Academic Affairs division was a good one. He asked if Mr. DiLorenzo will pursue funds from the legislature for research. Mr. DiLorenzo stated that there is a plan to engage the legislature in ways they can support research activities in all avenues. It may not be a line item, similar to NDSU, but more broadly requested. He also stated it would be good for the Senate to engage in discussion on what it takes to be a high-tier research university. Discussion ensued about research for the arts and humanities and social sciences.

The question period closed at 5:17 p.m.

7.

Ms. Gjellstad called attention to the annual report from the University Senate Committee on Committees. A motion was made by Mr. Munski to accept and file the report. There was a second by Mr. Quinn and the motion was approved.

8.

Ms. Gjellstad called attention to the University Senate Curriculum Committee report. She requested a motion to approve the items needing Senate approval. Mr. Jendrysik moved approval. Mr. Sum seconded, and the motion was approved.

9.

Ms. Gjellstad shared proposed Senate Bylaw changes submitted by the University Senate Executive Committee. The main change regarded approval of changes to the Code of Student Life. She shared the process for changes to the Code. Ms. Gjellstad indicated that since this was the first reading of the proposed changes, a vote could not occur until the next meeting. There were no questions.

10.

Mr. Munski moved to extend the meeting to 5:40 p.m. There was a second by Mr. Zerr and the motion was approved.

11.

Sol Jensen, Assistant Vice President of Enrollment Services, explained the two proposed admission changes submitted by the Academic Policies and Admissions Committee. The proposal included a priority deadline and a confirmation tuition deposit. Mr. Jensen indicated that the priority deadline is planned to begin fall 2015 with the deadline being March 1. The \$200 non-refundable confirmation tuition deposit would begin in fall 2016. He discussed the benefits of the proposed changes and answered questions. Mr. Munski moved to approve. There was a second by Mr. Sheridan. Discussion ensued.

12.

Mr. Munski moved to extend the meeting to 5:45 p.m. There was a second by Mr. Zerr and the motion was approved.

13.

Mr. Quinn recommended a friendly amendment to split the two admissions proposals. There was a second by Ms. Mikulak. The motion was approved. Discussion ensued.

14.

Quorum was lost and the meeting was adjourned at 5:43 p.m.

Suzanne Anderson, Secretary
University Senate